

# **Gas Measurement Integrity Audits**

# Israel Natural Gas Lines (INGL)

# Leviathan Custody Transfer Gas Audit May 2022

Document Reference: MK4266 - 001 Client Reference: 334782

Document Author: Mal MacCall

Contact: mal.maccall@kelton.co.uk

**Kelton Engineering Ltd** 

The Mackenzie Building, 168 Skene Street Aberdeen, AB10 1PE, Scotland, UK t: +44 (0) 1224 630000 f: +44 (0) 1224 630004 e: info@kelton.co.uk w: www.kelton.co.uk



# Contents

1	Revision	n Control	3
2	Introduc	ction	3
2.1	System [	Description	3
3	Acknowl	ledgements	3
4	Wash-up	p Meeting Attendees	3
5	Manage	ment Summary	4
6	Audit Po	pint Classification	5
7	Findings	overview	5
8	Audit Ob	bjectives and Scope	6
9	Terms of	f Reference	6
10	Con	mmon Acronyms	7
11	Syst	stem Inventory	8
12	Арр	plicable Standards	9
13	Rep	ported Uncertainty	9
14	Auc	dit Findings	10
Aud	it Criteria	a	22
14.1	. UFMs		23
14.2	Seconda	ary Equipment	26
	14.2.1	Pressure Measurement	26
	14.2.2	Temperature Measurement	28
14.3	Gas Qua	ality Measurement	
	14.3.1	GC	
	14.3.2	Dew Point Measurement	32
	14.3.3	H <sub>2</sub> S Measurement	33
	14.3.4	Sampling	34
14.4	Flow Cor	mputers	36
	14.4.1	Gas Flow Computer	36
	14.4.2	Supervisory Computer/ICSS/CBM	38
	14.4.3	Data Transmission	39
14.5	Docume	entation	40
14.6	Metering	g Management	41



# **1** Revision Control

Rev	Issue date	Description	Prep.	App.
1	17/06/2022	Issued for comment	MM	RA
2	08/07/2022	Issued as Final	MM	DS

# 2 Introduction

KELTON<sup>tm</sup> were approached on behalf of Israel Natural Gas Lines (INGL) to complete a gas measurement integrity audit on the Chevron Leviathan platform.

The Leviathan platform custody transfer gas measurement station comprises the boundary of this audit, which was conducted between the 23<sup>rd</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> of May 2022 by Malcolm MacCall of KELTON Engineering Ltd.

# 2.1 System Description

The Leviathan custody transfer gas metering system consists of four meter runs each of which comprises of the following:

- 2 x USM (Duty and Check)
- 2 x Pressure transmitters
- 2 x Temperature transmitters
- 2 x Flow computers

Common equipment comprises of:

- 1 x C6+ Gas chromatograph (GC)
- 1 x H<sub>2</sub>S analyser
- 1 x Moisture analyser
- 1 x Hydrocarbon Dewpoint (HDP) analyser

There is no supervisory system. The flow computers are interfaced via an Integrated Control and Safety System (ICSS) based human-machine interface (HMI).

# 3 Acknowledgements

The auditor would like to thank Philip Krasnopolsky (INGL) and the onsite technicians (Mark Donnelly and Steve Graham) for their invaluable assistance during the audit process.

# 4 Wash-up Meeting Attendees

Auditor INGL Measurement Engineer Measurement Support Engineer Platform Measurement Technician Platform Analyser Technician Maintenance Forman Assistant Maintenance Forman Mal MacCall (Kelton) Philip Krasnopolsky (INGL) Keith Brown (Rust Resources) Mark Donnelly (Rust Resources) Steve Graham (Rust Resources) Mike Foreman (Chevron) Dennis Porsnuk (Chevron)



# 5 Management Summary

The Leviathan gas metering system has two Risk Factor 2 findings and eight Risk Factor 3 audit findings identified during this audit. These are:

Risk Factor2

- USM Calibration Issues: May result in a potential for flowrate bias if there are traceability issues found with the calibration curve settings.
- Dataflow Issues: May result in mis-reporting exposures.

# Risk Factor 3

- USM Internal Diameter (ID) Traceability: Minor compliance issue.
- USM Spool Corrections: Small potential for flowrate bias if not applied or not applied correctly.
- Analyser Sample Conditioning Issues: Potential for heavy end component drop out, thus reducing confidence in the reported gas quality values.
- Unrepresentative Uncertainty Values: Representative uncertainty calculations are essential to ensure the system is operating within contractual limits.
- Spares Lead Times: If critical spares are not available in a timely manner confidence in the system performance may be reduced until the situation has been resolved by replacement/repair of the affected item(s).
- GC performance: Asynchronous component response factor trending can highlight component uncertainty issues and therefore reduce confidence with the reported analyses.
- Alarm and Event Handling/Monitoring: It is acknowledged that the metering department should capture most events/issues via daily checks and monitoring; however, ineffective alarm and event handling/monitoring issues could lead to situations where issues are not detected/reported in a timely manner.
- Master Parameter Lists: The site uses the latest configuration dumps; however, there are no formal revision-controlled master parameter lists. Formal, controlled master lists increase confidence in the flow computer settings by helping to reduce the potential for confusion and/or the use of unrepresentative values.

# The detailed findings, significance, and recommended actions are noted in Section 14 of this document.

Additional comments were also made to highlight issues where potential for improvement and/or enhancements could be made. The main comments can be seen in Section 14 with other observations in the audit criteria.



# 6 Audit Point Classification

Risk Factor 1	A serious control weakness which could expose the business to a major extent to commercial and/or reputational issues and require immediate corrective action. The finding is also likely to cause a high undesirable effect on the achievement of one of the assets objectives, thus warranting immediate reporting to the auditee's management. This Risk Factor may also lead to significant mismeasurement potential or large actual
Risk Factor 2	A medium control weakness, which of itself would not be serious but could adversely impact on the business and requires, scheduled corrective action. The finding is also likely to cause a measurable undesirable effect on the achievement of one of the assets objectives. This Risk Factor may also lead to large mismeasurement potential, or medium actual.
Risk Factor 3	A minor control weakness where the impact on the business would have a low significance but scheduled corrective action is still required. This weakness is unlikely to have a measurable impact on the asset's objectives, but its correction would enhance the risk-based control framework. This Risk Factor may also lead to medium mismeasurement potential, or no actual.
Comment	An item, which does not have a significant impact on the business, but if corrected may result in improvements to the efficiency/effectiveness of the measurement integrity process.

Recommended Action Periods <sup>1</sup>			
Risk Factor 1 3 months			
Risk Factor 2 6 months			
Risk Factor 3	9 months		

# 7 Findings Overview

	Risk Factor 1	Risk Factor 2	Risk Factor 3
Leviathan Gas Metering	0	2	7
Total	0	2	7
Open Items @ Final Report Issue	0	2	7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on typical periods found with other global operators/pipelines



# 8 Audit Objectives and Scope

## Objectives

- a) Assess the current condition of the Metering Station(s)
- b) Assess the Metering Station(s) historical performance
- c) Establish if the metering station(s) have been operating to the required standards
- d) Assess the integrity of the data transmitted from the metering system into the relevant allocation and accounting process
- e) Follow-up previous audit action items (where applicable)
- f) Review system documentation
- g) Where required and information is supplied determine metering station(s) compliance with relevant company policies, government regulations & guidelines and applicable operating, transportation & sales agreements.

### Scope

The following areas to be audited/reviewed include:

### System Areas

- a) the total metering system(s) including primary elements
- b) gas quality measurement system(s)
- c) the flow of data (measured to allocated)
- d) the metering system(s) calibration equipment.

### Control Areas

- a) the maintenance and calibration history records and schedules
- b) the system uncertainty (design to actual)
- c) the procedures
- d) the logbooks and measurement manuals
- e) the metrological certification
- f) the master configuration lists and files for computers and primary elements
- g) the experience and competence of staff
- h) the roles and responsibilities

# 9 Terms of Reference

The audit was conducted against the following (where supplied):

- a) Applicable Contractual Operating & Transportation Agreements
- b) Applicable Governmental Guidelines and Policies
- c) Applicable International Metrological Procedures and Standards



# **10** Common Acronyms

The following common abbreviations and acronyms are used in this document:

Acronym	Meaning
ISO	International Standards Organisation
UFM/USM	Ultrasonic Flow Meters
GC	Gas Chromatograph
OIML	The International Organisation of Legal Metrology
AGA	American Gas Association
API	American Petroleum Institute
IP	Institute of Petroleum
ICSS	Integrated Control and Safety System
CBM	Condition Based Monitoring



# **11 System Inventory**

### Primary Data

Primary Device(s)	USM (x4 duty and x4 check)		
Manufacturer of Device(s)	Caldon LEFM 380ci (Duty) Sick Maihak FlowSic600-XT Forte (Check)		
Number of Streams	4		
Redundancy of Streams		Yes	No
Proving or Verification Device(s)	Yes <del>No</del>		No
Proving or Verification Type	Check Meters		

## Secondary Data

Density Measurement Method	<del>ρ Live</del>	Calculated	
Manufacturer of Density Device	N/A		
Density Calculation Method	AGA-8		
Relative Density Method	RD Live	Calculated	
Manufacturer of Relative Density Analyser	N/A		
Standard Density Calculation Method	AGA-8 (1994)		
Energy Calculation Method	ISO-6976 (1995)		
Composition Determination Method	ManualOnlineSample AnalysisChromatogra		

Manufacturer of Composition Device	Emerson		
Sampling Method	Manual Sample Analysis		Automatic Sampling
Manufacturer of Sampling Device	ZY Systems DP2010		2010RN
Pressure Measurement Method	Single		Redundant
Manufacturer of Pressure Device(s)	Emerson 3051 TG4A x 8		TG4A x 8
Temperature Measurement Method	PT100 Transmitter		Redundant
Manufacturer of Temperature Device(s)	Emerson 3144P x 8		

# Flow Computer System

Redundancy of Supervisory		<del>Yes</del>	No
Manufacturer of Supervisory	N/A		
Redundancy of Stream(s)		<del>Yes</del>	No
Manufacturer of Stream(s)	OMNI		
Model of Stream(s)	Model 3000 (x8)		
Other Flow Computation Device		Yes	No
Manufacturer of Other Device	ICSS		



# **12** Applicable Standards

Uncertainty	ISO-5168
Flow	AGA-9/ISO-17089/OIML R137-1
Density	AGA-8
Standard Density	AGA-8
Calorific Value	ISO-6976
Sampling	ISO-10715
Online analysis	ISO-6974
Calibration gas mixtures	ISO-6141/6142/6143

# **13** Reported Uncertainty

Uncertainty Basis	Gross Volume	Energy
Design Calculated Uncertainty	0.212%	0.331%
Contractual Limit	±1%	±1%



# 14 Audit Findings

<u>ltem</u>	<u>Findings</u>	Significance	Recommended Action	<u>Category</u>
1	USM Calibration Issue			
	It was noted that the CEESI certificates	As the formal traceability path to the	a) It is recommended that the	Risk Factor 2
	had Modbus based outputs during the	meter output is MODBUS based,	Leviathan gas metering Sick Maihak	
	USM calibrations; however, the	pulse output traceability is effectively	USM vendor is contacted to get a	Open
	Leviathan system uses pulse based	unknown. Therefore, there may be a	definitive written statement to the	
	outputs from the USMs.	potential for flowrate bias.	effect that there will be no bias	
		With regards to a precedent for this	and/or measurement integrity	
	Post Audit Update	issue, another operator had a similar	issues as a result of using a Modbus	
	From subsequent information on the	issue which did result in bias;	as opposed to pulse based output.	
	Leviathan Caldon duty meters, the	however, the meter type was not		
	vendor stated that there should be no	specified in that instance.	b) To close the action with respect	
	significant difference. However, they		to the Leviathan gas metering	
	went on to state that it can be verified		Caldon duty meters - Verification	
	by initiating a data log from the USMs		shall be completed as per the	
	and comparing the data logged average		vendors instructions on comparing	
	flow against the totalised average flow		data logged average flow totals	
	from the flow computers.		from the USMs against the	
			respective flow computer totals.	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.1 Comment 9)			



Delivering Measurement Excellence

ltem	Findings	Significance	Recommended Action	Category
2	<b>Production Dataflow Issue</b> From supplied hourly energy data from INGL, it was not possible to get the supplied 25 <sup>th</sup> May 2022 06:00 report	Dataflow issues may result in mis- reporting exposures.	To ensure complete alignment of reported data - the reasons for the apparent differences in reported	Risk Factor 2 Open
	Post Audit Update It was reported after the site visit that there may be a mismatch in mapping of the data.		metering computer system and the INGL hourly totals shall be established and rectified as required.	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.4.3 Comment 3)			
3	USM Traceability Issues Whilst there were stated internal diameters (ID) on the wet calibration certificates, there were no formal dry metrology documents to show traceability to the IDs of the USMs. The USM standards noted as referenced in the supplied system handbook (LPP- OP-NEM-OPS-MAN-0001 Rev C2) are – AGA-9 (2007) and ISO-17089 (2010). Both of the above standards require the ID to be determined as per Chapter 6.2 and Chapter 5.9.3.3 respectively. There were minor differences noted in flowrates used in some of the curve constants entered in the meter electronics. The error values, however, appear to be catiofactory	USM IDs are a critical element in the determination of flowrates. It is recognised that the likelihood of the in-use values being incorrect is small, nonetheless, traceability issues with the USMs will exist until formal dry metrology documents that conform to the standards referenced in the system data book are made available. Minor traceability issues will exist to the USM calibration curve constants if the computer settings do not match their respective certificate values exactly.	a) Formal dry metrology documents shall be traced for all eight Leviathan USMs to establish a traceability path that conforms to AGA-9 (2007) Chapter 6.2 and ISO- 17089 (2010) Chapter 5.9.3.3. Once the formal dry metrology showing the appropriate traceability is available, it shall be located in the site metering folder for future auditing and information purposes. <i>Post Audit Update</i> As there is now a traceable path to the USM IDs –action a) is considered closed.	Risk Factor 3 Open



<u>ltem</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Significance</u>	Recommended Action	Category
	Post Audit Update From supplied information for both duty and check meters post site visit, the USMs now have metrology information. This information was from the certification packages from the respective vendors. Whilst traceability was confirmed to the meter IDs, there was no mention of AGA-9 or ISO-17089. It was also reported that the above are now in the site measurement folder for future auditing and information purposes.		b) All Leviathan USM calibration curve constants shall match the certificate values as required.	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.1 Comments 1 and 7)			



Delivering Measurement Excellence

Item	Findings	Significance	Recommended Action	Category
4	USM Spool Corrections			
	On the FloSic600 check meters the spool corrections appear to be applied using	A small flowrate bias may exist if USM spool corrections are not	All Leviathan gas metering system stakeholders shall be contacted to	Risk Factor 3
	fixed values in the meter electronics,	implemented and/or use	establish if USM spool corrections	Open
	which are not representative of the	unrepresentative pressure and	are required.	
	observed conditions.	temperature values.	If it is established that USM spool	
	It was not possible to determine from		corrections are required, then the	
	the supplied Caldon duty meter		Leviathan gas metering system	
	information if this function was		check and duty USMs shall be setup	
	enabled/disabled.		accordingly. Ideally, live pressure	
	It was not possible to determine during		and temperature values should be	
	the site visit if implementation of this		used, which would require signals	
	function is a contractual requirement.		to be made available to the USMs.	
			If this is not possible then any fixed	
			values used shall be subject to	
			regular review to ensure they are	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.1 Comment 11)		representative.	
5	Analyser Sample Conditioning			
	The analyser sample conditioning system	Effective heated regulators should	Whilst it is recognised that the	Risk Factor 3
	heated regulators were not working	help prevent component dropout	measurement integrity impact of	
	during the site visit, and it was noted	caused by Joule-Thomson effects. If	the unserviceable Leviathan gas	Open
	that there is evidence of some	the device heaters are not working,	metering sample conditioning	
	condensation on the regulators.	then increased exposure to	system heated regulators appears	
	This issue is known, and spares were	component drop out may therefore	to be relatively small in this	
	reported to have been requested. The	occur; however, no issues with liquids	Instance - to increase confidence in	
	reason for the failures was reported as	present in the system were reported.	the gas quality measurement	
	water leaking into the regulator terminal	Successful calculated versus	determination, these devices shall	
	enclosure due to cable gland issues.	measured velocity of sound checks	be returned to a serviceable state	
		also carried out on a weekly basis	as soon as possible.	
		give additional confidence in the		
1	(Criteria Reference – 14.3.1 Comment 4)	reported GC compositions.		



<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Recommended Action	Category
6	Reported System Uncertainty Values The quoted uncertainties for energy flow appear to be unrealistically low at 0.331% - 0.341%. (Criteria Reference – 14.5 Comment 8)	Representative uncertainty calculations are essential to ensure the system is operating within contractual limits.	The Leviathan uncertainty calculations shall be revisited to ensure representative of the current setup and process.	Risk Factor 3 Open
7	Spares Lead Times It was reported that there are issues with lead time on spares which is delaying the replacement of the heated regulators noted previously in item 5. During the wash-up meeting this issue was discussed and min/max stock levels should be set to ensure timely access to critical spares by having sufficient local availability in the first instance. Correspondence with local agents was also discussed to help source equipment quicker. (Criteria Reference – 14.6 Comment 3)	If critical spares are not available in a timely manner, then confidence in the system performance may be reduced until the situation has been resolved by replacement/repair of the affected item(s).	As per the wash-up discussion for the Leviathan gas metering system – appropriate measures shall be taken to ensure access to critical spares can be achieved in a timely manner, which in turn should help reduce exposures to any measurement integrity issues caused by equipment failure(s).	Risk Factor 3 Open



<u>ltem</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Significance</u>	Recommended Action	Category
8	Gas Chromatograph (GC) Performance			
	From supplied GC response factor (RF)	It is recognised that measurement	It is recommended to carry out	Risk Factor 3
	data it was noted that there are	risks are minor in this instance;	repeatability tests on the Leviathan	
	asynchronous RF trends on the i-	however, asynchronous component	gas metering GC (compliance as per	Open
	Pentane, n-Pentane, n-Butane, and	RF trending can highlight component	ASTM D1945-14 - 2019) to ensure	
	Nitrogen components. Of the trends that	uncertainty issues and therefore	there are no event or valve timing	
	are deviating, most are the heavier end	reduce confidence with the reported	issues.	
	components which have very small	analyses.		
	concentrations and therefore			
	measurement risks should be mitigated.			
	(Criteria Reference – 14.3.1 Comment 8)			
9	Master Parameter Lists			
	The site uses the latest configuration	Formal, controlled master lists	Formal master parameter lists	Risk Factor 3
	dumps; however, there are no formal	increase confidence in the flow	should be implemented for the	
	revision-controlled master parameter	computer settings by helping to	Leviathan gas metering system flow	Open
	lists.	reduce the potential for confusion	computers and USM transmitter	
		and/or the use of unrepresentative	settings.	
		values.		
	(Criteria Reference – 14.4.1 Comment 3)			



<u>ltem</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Significance</u>	Recommended Action	Category
10	GC Redundancy			
	The USMs, flow computers, and	Whilst it is appreciated that the gas	a) To increase confidence in the gas	Comment
	pressure/temperature transmitters have	composition is relatively stable,	quality determination and reduce	
	excellent levels of redundancy and thus	extended operation with fixed	exposures to operating with fixed	
	high confidence in the gross volume flow	compositions if the GC fails may	compositional values - It is	
	indication.	result in exposure to some	recommended that an additional	
	Gas quality determination has the same	commercial risks. An additional GC	GC is fitted to the Leviathan gas	
	importance level as the gross volume	would help mitigate this risk and give	metering system.	
	flow; however, in this instance the	increased confidence levels with the		
	system has no redundancy.	energy, mass, and standard volume	b) It is recommended that an ISO-	
	No ISO-10723 GC performance	flowrates.	10723 Performance evaluation is	
	evaluation data was presented during		carried out on the Leviathan gas	
	the site visit.	ISO-10723 GC performance	metering system GC along with	
	No GC monitoring software in use.	evaluations and implementation of	implementation of monitoring	
	However, it was noted that spreadsheet-	monitoring software will increase	software (for example GCAS or	
	based response factor (RF) monitoring	confidence in the GC output and	equivalent).	
	was in place.	therefore in the reported energy,		
		mass, and standard volume readings.		
	(Criteria Reference – 14.6 Comment 1)			



<u>ltem</u>	<u>Findings</u>	<u>Significance</u>	Recommended Action	Category
11	Alarm and Event Handling/Monitoring			
	There does not appear to be any alarm	It is acknowledged that the metering	a) The Leviathan gas metering	Comment
	output from the USMs to the computer	department should capture most	computer system shall have a	
	system.	events/issues via daily checks and	robust alarm/event handling	
	The alarm limits at the flow computers	monitoring; however, alarm and	system, which also indicates USM	
	for pressure and temperature appear to	event handling/monitoring issues	alarm situations as required.	
	be excessive at 10-150 barg and 0-150°C	could lead to situations where issues		
	respectively (live values were ~81 barg	may go undetected.	b) Operations shall be made aware	
	and ~16°C).		of Leviathan gas metering critical	
	The gross flow high limit on the flow		alarms/events and know what	
	computer meter set-up was noted as		action to take if an alarm is raised.	
	being 707912 m <sup>3</sup> /hr. The low limit was			
	noted as zero (it is assumed that these		c) Alarm limits shall be optimised	
	units reflect standard volume flow). This		for the Leviathan gas metering	
	limit should reflect the flow range from		process.	
	the meter calibration certificates.			
	It was also reported that the alarm		d) Alarm outputs that are part of	
	outputs that are part of the contractual		the contractual connection	
	connection agreements have still to be		agreements shall be implemented	
	implemented at the HMI.		at the Leviathan gas metering HMI	
			system.	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.4.2 Comment 4)			
12	Dispensation Request System			
	There does not appear to be a formal	A dispensation system adds a level of	An appropriate dispensation system	Comment
	dispensation request system/process in	control to measurement issues that	should be implemented for the	
	place.	may be subject to delayed resolution.	Leviathan gas metering system.	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.5 Comment 10)			



Delivering Measurement Excellence	2
-----------------------------------	---

<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Recommended Action	Category
13	Maintenance Frequency			
	Given the history of calibration results noted from the supplied information, along with the levels of redundancy available with the USMs, flow computers, and pressure/temperature transmitters - the frequency of calibrations could be relaxed. However, during the site wash-up meeting it was reported that maintenance has to be completed in a period no greater than 42 days.	Excessive calibration frequencies result in unnecessary man-hour expenditure. Additionally, if there is too much emphasis on completing tasks that are not necessary on a monthly basis, then other important tasks may be affected.	It is recognised that the monthly calibration frequencies are a requirement, nonetheless a case should be presented to all Leviathan gas metering system stakeholders to reduce maintenance frequencies to more appropriate levels. Presentation of this case should include the excellent history of calibration results and the levels of redundancy available.	Comment
	(Criteria Reference – 14.5 Comment 6)			
14	Check USM Pressure Taps The check meters (Sick Maihak) use a downstream tapping ~6D from the meter, which is slightly over the requirements of the gas USM standards (for example AGA-9 Chapter C3.2.2 states within 5D). The Sick Maihak operation manual also states in Chapter 3.3.3 that the pressure tapping should be used. (Criteria Reference – 14.2.1 Comment 3)	It is recognised this issue would have little effect on measurement integrity; however, the set-up is not compliant with the USM standards and the vendor recommendations.	A deviation request should be made to all system stakeholders to continue Leviathan gas metering operations without using the check USM pressure taps and being slightly over the 5D limit allowed in the standard.	Comment



<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Recommended Action	<u>Category</u>
15	Logbooks/Analyser Maintenance Histories Whilst information was supplied for the USMs, Pressure/Temperature transmitters, and flow computer checks – the only analyser test noted was for monthly GC auto calibration checks. There is also no common equipment logbook for the system analysers.	Whilst not presenting a direct measurement integrity issue – a lack of a common equipment logbook and test information may lead to traceability issues.	It is recommended that information on the Leviathan gas metering analyser system maintenance is recorded and documented in the same manner as the rest of the metering system.	Comment
	(Criteria Reference – 14.5 Comment 2)			
16	Calibration Test Forms The temperature transmitter test form has the error sections reflected in °C not %. The AGA-10 test form does not show the pressure and temperature used for the test. Units are missing from the totaliser test forms. The density, calorific value, and standard density test forms do not have any reference to the standard used.	Minor traceability issues with calibration test forms.	<ul> <li>a) The Leviathan gas metering system calibration temperature transmitter test form shall have the error noted in % as opposed to °C.</li> <li>b) Leviathan gas metering AGA-10 test form shall show the pressure and temperature used for the test.</li> <li>c) The required units shall be added to the Leviathan gas metering totaliser forms.</li> <li>d) The Leviathan gas metering</li> </ul>	Comment
	(Criteria Reference – 14.4.1 Comment 7)		density, calorific value, and standard density test forms shall show the applicable standards used for testing.	



Delivering Measurement Excel	llence	
------------------------------	--------	--

<u>ltem</u>	<u>Findings</u>	Significance	Recommended Action	Category
17	Mismeasurement Process It was demonstrated that a mismeasurement process is in place and the procedure was noted on the front of each report. However, there was no sign-off section from hydrocarbon accounts or INGL. It was reported that the Gas Controllers apply corrections; however, implementation in accounts was not witnessed during the site visit.	The audit/document trail will be incomplete If there is no sign off section to show that a correction has been implemented by hydrocarbon accounting. Any mismeasurement methodology should also be agreed with INGL.	it is recommended that a section is added to the Leviathan gas metering mismeasurement reports to show totals been amended/ implemented by accounts/ gas controllers and approved by INGL to fully close out the mismeasurement process.	Comment
10	(Criteria Reference – 14.5 Comment 7)			
18	No definitive information on calibration frequency was supplied during the site visit; however, it was reported that the actual frequency for the above may be up to 16 years (reported frequency from a document that is still in draft form).	It is recognised that the duty/check meter setup should identify drift and/or other issues; however, there is a risk that any subsequent calibrations may show a shift in calibration results, which may result in a potentially complicated mismeasurment exercise and therefore exposure to commercial risks.	<ul> <li>a) It is recommended that the Levithan gas metering system meter calibration frequency has definitive information available for future information and auditing purposes.</li> <li>b) To mitigate against any measurement risks associated with shifts in Leviathan meter calibration results – consideration should be given to higher calibration frequency. Any change to the frequency would also require approval from all system stakeholders</li> </ul>	Comment



Delivering	Measurement	Excel	lence	
			$\sim$	

<u>ltem</u>	<u>Findings</u>	Significance	Recommended Action	<u>Category</u>
19	Available Archive Data			
	The only parameter that appears to be stored in the archive data is hourly based energy increments.	It may not be possible for INGL to recalculate energy increments in the event of missing live data.	It is recommended that averaged pressure, temperature, heating value, base/line density, and gross/ net/mass totalisers are added to	Comment
	(Criteria Reference – 14.4.2 Comment 8)		the Leviathan archived data.	



# **Audit Criteria**



### 14.1 UFMs

- a) Check the serial number of the ultrasonic meter and cross reference to the calibration certificates and logbooks. Check that the bore size and wall thickness correspond with the manufacturer's meter dry metrology certificate.
- b) Obtain measurements of upstream and downstream straight lengths of pipework ensuring the necessary straight pipe diameters are available. If a flow straightener is installed, ensure necessary diameter lengths are available upstream. Check that the meter flow orientation is correct.
- c) Check that adequate insulation has been applied to the meter tubes to ensure thermal stability across the meters and associated instrumentation.
- d) Check the condition of cabling associated with the meter flow sensors and comment on any damage found.
- e) Observe, and comment upon any process conditions or piping configurations, which may be detrimental to the measurement integrity.
- f) Check the integrity of all path signals to ensure adequate performance, for online meters - verify that that the measured VOS and calculated VOS give good agreement. Check that condition-based monitoring (CBM) is being used and that meter performance is acceptable for all key parameters such as swirl, turbulence, SNR, AGC.
- g) Verify that all transducer constants entered in the associated flow computers, and the transducer serial numbers, match those on the master configuration lists and calibration certificates.
- h) Confirm that the method used to correct for meter calibration performance is by linear interpolation and has been implemented in the correct manner. Any other correction method shall be highlighted.
- i) Obtain a copy of the calibration certificate/s for the meter, ensuring that the agreed calibration frequency has been complied with and that the calibration was carried out by a recognised authority. Any associated "curve fit" constants within the system computers should reflect the values on the meter calibration certificate. Ensure that the meter signal output format matches that of the wet calibration certificate. Review historic shifts from the

previous calibrations and comment on any shifts greater than the maximum stipulated in the relevant agreements.

- j) If during the audit visit a meter is removed for recertification, check for visible damage to the meter body or transducers and for the presence of pipe scale and/or hydrocarbons in the meter and upstream/downstream pipe sections.
- k) Confirm that expansion corrections, for temperature and pressure, are being applied for the meter spool in the correct manner.

Traceability AGA 9

BS 7965 ISO TR 12765 BS 8452 BS ISO-17089-1 API Chapter 5 Section 8



Pass (✓)		Fail ( <mark>X</mark> )			Not Possible (NP)				Not Applicable (NA)				
METER STREAM	ITE	М	A	В	с	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	к
Check Meters													
FT 0202	Pass/Fai	I	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	NA	X
F1-9202	Commer	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
FT 0212	Pass/Fai	I	X	X	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	NA	X
FI-9212	Commer	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Pas	Pass/Fai	I	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	×	X	X	Х	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	NA	Х
F1-9222	Commer	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
FT 0222	Pass/Fai	I	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>√</b>	X	X	X	<b>√</b>	Х	NA	Х
F1-9232	Commer	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Cust	ody 1	ransf	er						
FT 0204	Pass/Fai	I	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	X	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	X	NA	X
F1-9204	Commer	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
FT 0214	Pass/Fai	I	Х	Х	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	Х	X	Х	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	NA	X
FI-9214	Commer	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
FT 0224	Pass/Fai	I	Х	Х	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	Х	X	Х	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	NA	X
F1-9224 Commei		nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
FT 0224	Pass/Fai		X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>√</b>	X	X	Х	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	NA	X
FT-9234	Commer	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

#### Comments:

1. Serial numbers correlate with supplied certificates; however, whilst there were stated IDs on the wet calibration certificates, there were no formal dry metrology documents as per the requirements of the standards to show traceability to the IDs of the USMs. (Risk Factor 3)

2. ~15D from bend/blank flange to first USM (duty). This complies with vendor specifications and OIML R137, but not AGA-9. There are no flow conditioners fitted. (Comment)



3. As seen from photo above - No insulation fitted; however, the metering skid is not exposed, and the observed velocities were  $\sim$ 20 -24 m/sec, which should not result in any thermal gradient issues.

4. No issues visually noted with cabling.

5. See comment 2. Additionally, from the CEESI calibration certificate information it can be seen that the set up appears representative of the site configuration – see excerpt from one certificate example below:





#### Meter Tube Identification:

Identifier	Length	Serial Number
B - Upstream Tube	10 Inches (254.00 mm)	TEE ASSEMBLY
D - Inlet Tube	137 Inches (3479.8 mm)	Not Present
E - Exit Tube	88.5 Inches (2247.9 mm)	Not Present

#### Meter Flow Conditioner Identification:

Identifier	Installed	Manufacturer	Serial Number	Flow Arrow	Top Dead Center
C - Upstream Flow Conditioner	No	Not Present	Not Present	Un-Verified	Un-Verified

Lengths B+C above result in ~15D which correlates with the upstream lengths observed during the audit. However, from the above it can be seen that only one meter is present. (Comment)

6. The diagnostics were checked, and it was noted that most parameters were within the vendor set limits - with the exception of some turbulence values. The site also records the diagnostics on a regular basis on spreadsheets.

7. Minor traceability issues - very slight differences in flowrates in some of the curve constants entered in the meters. The error values, however, appear to be satisfactory. (Risk Factor 3)

8. Linear interpolation in use on all 8 meters.

9. No historical calibration certificates available. All supplied documents were dated in November 2017.

It was noted that the CEESI certificates had Modbus based outputs during the calibrations; however, the Leviathan system uses pulse-based outputs – see excerpt from calibration certificate below:

Meter Output:

Modbus

Date: 08/07/2022 Document Number/Rev: MK4266-001/R2 Document Title: Leviathan Gas Audit May 22 R2 The impact of this is unknown at the moment; however, another operator had a similar issue which resulted in a bias. It is recommended that the Sick Maihak and Caldon meter vendors are contacted to get definitive statements that there will be no bias and/or measurement integrity issues in this instance. (Category 2) 10. Not applicable – no meters removed. It was reported that meter calibrations may be as much as 16 years. This length of time between calibrations may present a risk if it is found that a meter has had a calibration shift, which may lead to a complicated mismeasurement investigation/report and the resultant commercial exposure. (Comment)

11. On the FloSic600 check meters the spool corrections appear to be applied using fixed values, which are not representative of the observed conditions. This may lead to a small bias if not representative of actual conditions. It was not possible to determine from the supplied Caldon duty meter information if this function was enabled. (Risk Factor 3)



## 14.2 Secondary Equipment

### 14.2.1 Pressure Measurement

- a) Check the serial numbers of the pressure transmitters and cross reference to the calibration certificates or logbooks.
- b) Check the condition of the pressure transmitter enclosure and heater, if fitted, and ensure that the enclosure temperature is thermostatically controlled.
- c) Observe pressure tapping's and pressure transmitters are in an appropriate location for the type of installation, ensuring that gas turbine and USM installations utilise the reference tapping. Ensure impulse lines are in good condition and as short as possible.
- d) Witness the calibration check on the pressure transmitter, referring to the detailed calibration procedures. Confirm that the pressure transmitter calibrates satisfactory.
- e) Witness re-instatement of the pressure transmitters and ensure the impulse lines are secured and leak tight.
- f) Ensure that corrections for local gravity, calibration temperature and gauge/absolute pressure, where required, are being applied correctly.
- g) Check maintenance records. Highlight any maintenance faults or discrepancies, which may have affected the pressure reported and subsequently used in the flow calculation.

Pass (✔)	Pass (✓)		<sup>-</sup> ail (X)		No Possible	ot e (NP)	Ар	Not Applicable (NA)			
METER STREAM	ITEM		А	В	С	D	Е	F	G		
Check Meters											
	Pass/Fa	ail	<b>√</b>	NA	X	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	NA	<b>√</b>		
P1-9202	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
DT 0212	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	NA	Х	NP	NP	NA	✓		
P1-9212	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
	Pass/Fa	Pass/Fail		NA	Х	NP	NP	NA	✓		
P1-9222	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
	Pass/Fa	ail	<b>√</b>	NA	X	NP	NP	NA	✓		
P1-9252	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
		C	Custody	Transfe	r Meter	S					
DT 0204	Pass/Fa	ail	<b>√</b>	NA	<b>√</b>	NP	NP	NA	<b>√</b>		
P1-9204	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
DT 0214	Pass/Fa	ail	<b>√</b>	NA	<b>√</b>	NP	NP	NA	<b>√</b>		
P1-9214	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
DT 0224	Pass/Fa	ail	-	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	<b>√</b>		
F1-9224	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
DT 0224	Pass/Fa	ail	<b>√</b>	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓		
r1-9254	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

#### Traceability

BS7965 AGA 9 EN 1776

Date: 08/07/2022 Document Number/Rev: MK4266-001/R2 Document Title: Leviathan Gas Audit May 22 R2



#### Comments:

1. Serial numbers correlate with supplied calibration data.

2. Not applicable – devices have no enclosures.

3. Duty meters (Caldon) use reference tapping. Check meters (Sick Maihak) use a

downstream tapping ~6D from the meter – see photo below:



This is slightly over the requirements of the gas USM standards. The Sick Maihak operation manual also states in Chapter 3.3.3 that the pressure tapping be used – see excerpt from chapter below. It is, however, likely that this issue will have a negligible effect on measurement integrity.

Pressure measuring devices must be connected to the pressure tap provided. The pressure inlet nozzle is marked with p<sub>m</sub>.

It was reported that this was implemented due to site safety requirements. It is recommended that a deviation request is raised with all affected stakeholders to grant approval to continue operations with the above set-up. (Comment)

- 4. FT-9202 pressure transmitter calibration witnessed successfully.
- 5. No issues noted on reinstatement.
- 6. Not applicable BEAMEX calibrators used.
- 7. Monthly checks all show AF/AL since start up.



### 14.2.2 Temperature Measurement

- a) Check the calibration certificates or logbooks to see if there have been any changes made to the temperature measurement equipment.
- b) Ensure that any possibility of thermal gradients for example between the primary elements and temperature elements have been minimised by application of appropriate insulation.
- c) Check the temperature element is installed in the correct location in relation to the primary element and that a suitable thermal conductivity medium is used.
- d) Check the availability of a thermowell adjacent to PRT to perform spot checks using a certified temperature indicator, if a test thermowell is not available ensure that a suitable alternative method exists for carrying out a loop check.
- e) Witness a functional check of each complete temperature measurement loop, referring to the detailed calibration procedures.
- f) Check maintenance records. Highlight any maintenance faults or discrepancies, which may have affected the temperature used in calculation and reported.

Pass (✓)		ĺ	Fail (X)	Po	Not ossible (N	P)	Not Applicable (NA)				
METER STREAM	ITEM		Α	В	С	D	E	F			
Check Meters											
TT 0202	Pass/Fail		<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>√</b>			
11-9202	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6			
TT 0212	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	✓	✓	✓	NP	✓			
11-9212	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6			
	TT-9222 Pass/Fail Comment		✓	✓	✓	✓	NP	✓			
11-9222			1	2	3	4	5	6			
	Pass/Fai		✓	✓	✓	✓	NP	✓			
11-9232	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6			
		(	Custody T	ransfer I	Meters						
TT 0204	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	✓	✓	✓	NP	✓			
11-9204	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6			
TT 0214	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	✓	✓	✓	NP	✓			
11-9214	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6			
TT 0224	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	✓	✓	✓	NP	✓			
11-9224	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6			
TT 0224	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	✓	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	NP	✓			
11-9234	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6			

Traceability

BS 7965 EN 1776



#### Comments:

1. Serial numbers correlate with supplied calibration certificates.

2. Skid not exposed and velocities of gas sufficiently high enough to mitigate against thermal gradients.

3. No issues noted.

4. Spare thermowell fitted.

5. FT-9202 temperature transmitter calibration witnessed satisfactorily. However, it was noted there was a 0.4 Deg C difference between TT-9212 and TT-9214 on the local indicators. Whilst within a 0.5 Deg C tolerance this may lead to a small bias in indicated flows if the device which is least representative is in use. (Comment)

6. Monthly checks on transmitters and elements since all show AF/AL start up. Devices noted to be setup with a large range – 0 to 150 Deg C, whereas the observed operating temperature was~16 Deg C. (Comment)



#### EN 1776:1998 Section 6.2.4, 6.3.2

# 14.3 Gas Quality Measurement

## 14.3.1 GC

- a) Check the serial number of the GC and cross reference to the calibration certificates or logbooks.
- b) Ensure that the sample probe is located in an area of turbulence and that the sample obtained is representative of operating conditions. Ensure probe has been inspected on at least a five-yearly basis
- c) Check that the sample lines are as short as possible, and heat traced (if required) and/or insulated to prevent liquid dropout from the gas sample. The sample lines should slope upwards away from the sample point.
- d) Confirm that the heating system of the sample-conditioning system is functioning and that the pressures, temperatures and flowrates are set to their design values.
- e) Witness a calibration of the GC and check all functions with reference to the calibration procedures. Review copies of the calibration records and comment on any irregularities.
- f) Review calibration gas certificates, checking the location where testing and certification was carried out, the suitability and source of the calibration gas, and the representivity compared with flowing gas.
- g) If applicable, check the ISO 10723 performance evaluation for the analyser.
- h) Verify that the chromatograph response factors are being monitored via control charts and that action is taken when the response factor deviation is excessive or when response factor correlation is poor. Check if repeatability / reproducibility checks have been completed.
- Verify all calculations, density, relative density, calorific value and compressibility, carried out by the GC using the analysed data, ensuring that all calculations comply with the system design standards. Ensure that the total un-normalised concentration is within the agreed tolerances.
- j) Ensure that all the analysis data is communicated correctly to the appropriate flow computers.

#### Traceability

ISO 10723:2002 Section 5

Pa (1	ass 🖌)	Fai (X)	Fail (X)		N Possib	Not ssible (NP)		Ap	Nc oplicab	ot le (NA	)
GC	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J
AT 0011	Pass/Fail	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<b>√</b>	NA	Х	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<b>√</b>
A1-8011	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

#### Comments:

1. Serial number correlates with supplied information.

2. No issues noted. Site has not yet been in operation for more than 5 years so inspection not yet required. Oracle maint system not checked to verify if this task is scheduled at 5 yearly. (Comment)

3. See photo below of sample conditioning and analyser hut. As the probes and sample conditioning are above the analyser hut it is not compliant with ISO-10715. It was reported however, that there have been no issues with component drop-out. Sample lead time reported as estimated as ~10 minutes. (Comment)



4. Flows and pressures appear to be satisfactory during site visit. However, the sample conditioning system heated regulators not working, this issue is known,



and spares requested. The reason for the failures was reported as water leaking

into the regulator terminal enclosure due to cable gland issues.

There was evidence of condensation on the affected devices.

It was reported that there are issues with lead time on spares which is delaying the replacement of the devices. (Risk Factor 3)

5. No issues noted during auto calibration.

6. Effectech gas in use and supplied certificate data correlates with Component Table 1 in MON2020. Representivity appears to be satisfactory. The in-use gas expiry date is in July 2022; however, a spare bottle is available. See photo of calibration gas and carrier gas setup below. Helium carrier gas bottles 99.9995% purity.



Calibration & Carrier Gases Carrier Gas Changeover Setup

7. Not applicable – not completed. The site may benefit from a performance evaluation to give added confidence in the GC output and to identify any weaknesses in GC, sample conditioning performance, and calibration gas suitability. Whilst it is appreciated that the gas composition is relatively stable, it is also recommended that consideration to adopting a condition-based monitoring package is given (for example GCAS), which further ensures confidence and can highlight issues before failure. In turn this could help prevent any excessive downtime issues on a system with no redundancy, and therefore reduce any commercial exposures that may result with fixed composition operation. (Comment) 8. No repeatability tests noted; however, RF is being monitored regularly via charting functions – see GCAS comment above. It can be seen from the graph of RF errors below that there are some asynchronous trends for some components. The trends that are deviating most are the heavier end components which have very small concentrations and therefore measurement risks should be mitigated. However, it is recommended to carry out some repeatability tests (compliance as per ASTM D1945-14 - 2019) to ensure there are no event or valve timing issues. (Risk Factor 3)



9. Calculations completed at OMNI computers. Un-normalised % noted as being within 98% - 102% during site visit.
10. No issues noted.



### 14.3.2 Dew Point Measurement

- a) Check the serial number of the dew point analyser and cross reference to the calibration certificates or logbooks.
- b) Inspect sample conditioning system. Check for signs of contamination to the sensing elements that will result in slow response time or affect its accuracy.
- c) Where applicable, inspect the configuration of the sensor controller and ensure all parameters are configured according to the manufacturer's literature and sensor calibration data.
- d) Witness a calibration check on the dew point analyser referring to detailed calibration procedures.
- e) Review records for the dew point analyser calibrations ensuring that there are no irregularities.
- f) Review physical position of sensing probe with respect to pipeline entry / exit point.

Pass (✓)	Fail (X)			FailNot(X)Possible (I		(NP)	No Applicab	t le (NA)
DEWPOINT	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	E	F	
AT 0172	Pass/Fail	NP	X	NP	NP	X	X	
AI-9172	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	

#### Comments:

1. Serial number – 10221775.

2. Same setup as GC – heated regulators not working.

3. Not witnessed.

4. Not witnessed.

5. Records reported as entered in Oracle and not checked during site visit. No common equipment logbook. (Comment)

6. Same issues as GC system. (Comment)

7. There is also a hydrocarbon dewpoint (HDP) analyser fitted (serial number ZW-24110961-2). As with the moisture and H2S the same issues exist in terms of maint records and logbook. (Comment)



### 14.3.3 H<sub>2</sub>S Measurement

- a) Check the serial number of the  $H_2S$  analyser and cross reference to the certification or logbooks.
- b) Witness the calibration of the H<sub>2</sub>S analyser referring to detailed calibration procedures and verify range and sensitivity of the instrument.
- c) Ensure that the calibration gas range is suitable for the analyser.
- d) Review records for the  $H_2S$  analyser calibrations ensuring that there are no irregularities

Pass (√)	Fail (X)		Not Possible (NP)	Applic	Not Applicable (NA)	
H₂S	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	
AT 0171	Pass/Fail	NP	NP	✓	X	
AT-9171	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	

### Comments:

1. Serial number ZW93310961-13 fitted. Test sheets not supplied as such not verified.

2. Not witnessed.

3. 10 ppmv gas in use. It was noted that there was zero H2S indicated during the audit.

4. Same issues as other analysers in that records in Oracle and not checked during site visit. (Comment)



## 14.3.4 Sampling

### 14.3.4.1 Automatic Sampling (Gas)

- a) Check records for sample probe type and assess the installation in relation to the representivity of the sample extracted. Ensure probe has been inspected on at least a five-yearly basis
- b) Ensure sample lines are heat traced, insulated, slope upwards from the sample point and are as short as possible.
- c) Ensure that the sampler is operating flow proportionally and that the sample cans are changed over at the correct time.
- d) Ensure that periodic checks carried out by the operator to verify that the sampler is operating correctly.
- e) Ensure that the storage area for unused cylinders is suitable and prevents contamination of the cylinders.
- f) Verify that the samples have been collected at the agreed frequency. Check that updates of reference composition and gas dependent parameters have been carried out at the agreed frequency.

Pass (√)	Fa ()	Fail (X)		Not sible (NF	<b>)</b>	Not Applicable (NA)	
SAMPLER	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	E	F
AK-9001	Pass/Fail	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Comment No.			:	1		

### Comments:

1. No longer in operation.

**Traceability** API Chapter 14 Sections 1 ISO 10715



# 14.3.4.2 Manual Sampling (Gas)

- a) Ensure sample lines are heat traced, insulated, slope upwards from the sample point and are as short as possible. Ensure probe has been inspected on at least a five-yearly basis
- b) Check records for sample probe type and assess the installation in relation to the representivity of the sample extracted.
- c) Witness a sample being taken referring to the specific sampling procedure.
- d) Ensure sampling containers are in good condition and appropriately labelled.
- e) Verify that the samples have been collected at the agreed frequency. Check that updates of reference composition and gas dependent parameters have been carried out at the agreed frequency.

Pass	Fail	Fail		Not		Not		
(🔨)	( <mark>X</mark> )	( <del>X</del> )		Possible (NP)		Applicable (NA)		
SAMPLER	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	E		
	Pass/Fail	X	X	NP	NP	NP		
	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5		

#### Comments:

- 1. Same sample lines as analysers assumed as such same issues.
- 2. Same as analyser system.
- 3. Not witnessed.
- 4. Not witnessed.
- 5. Not witnessed.

**Traceability** API Chapter 14 Sections 1 ISO 10715



### 14.4 Flow Computers

### 14.4.1 Gas Flow Computer

- a) Check the serial number of the flow computers and cross reference to the certification or logbooks. Ensure that all changes to flow computer software have been recorded and checked appropriately after installation.
- b) Where relevant verify the computation of all gas composition derived parameters, as detailed below, using fixed composition, temperature and pressure are carried out within the agreed tolerance. Ensure that the correct values are entered on the master configuration list.
  - Density
  - Standard Density
  - GHV
- c) Obtain the approved flow computer 'Master Configuration List' and confirm the flow computer configurable locations are in agreement with the list. If the configuration lists references calibration certificates, these should be obtained to ensure correct values are entered in the flow computer.
- d) Verify the computation of density using the certified density constants and fixed, temperature and pressure is carried out within the agreed tolerance.
- e) Verify the computation of flow-rate, for mass, standard volume and energy, is carried out within the agreed tolerance.
- f) Verify the totalisation of mass, standard volume and energy is carried out within the agreed tolerance.

Pass		Fail			Not		Not		
( 🗸 )	(🔨)		( <mark>X</mark> ) F		ossible (NP)		Applicable (NA)		
METER STREAM	ITEM		А	В	с	D	E	F	
Check Meters									
FQI-9202	Pass/Fail		NP	✓	X	NA	✓	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
FQI-9212	Pass/Fail		NP	✓	X	NA	✓	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
FQI-9222	Pass/Fail		NP	<b>√</b>	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
FQI-9232	Pass/Fail		NP	<b>√</b>	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>√</b>	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
Custody Transfer Meters									
FQI-9204	Pass/Fail		NP	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
FQI-9214	Pass/Fail		NP	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
FQI-9224	Pass/Fail		NP	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
FQI-9234	Pass/Fa	Pass/Fail		✓	X	NA	<b>√</b>	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	

#### Comments:

1. Not witnessed.

2. Test results from January 2020 all AF/AL.

3. No formal master parameter list is in use. The site uses the latest parameter

dumps from the OMNIs as the master lists. (Risk Factor 3)

4. No densitometers – not applicable.

5. Flow tests witnessed satisfactorily on stream 1. Test results from January 2020 all AF/AL.

6. Totaliser test witnessed satisfactorily on stream 1. Test results from January 2020 all AF/AL.


7. The temperature transmitter test form has the error sections reflected in  $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  not %.

The AGA-10 test form does not show the pressure and temperature used for the test.

Units are missing from the totaliser test forms.

The density, calorific value, and standard density test forms do not have any reference to the standard used. (Comment)



# 14.4.2 Supervisory Computer/ICSS/CBM

- a) Check the serial number of the supervisory/DCS/CBM computers and cross reference to the certification or logbooks.
- b) Obtain the approved supervisory computer/DCS/CBM 'Master Configuration Lists' and confirm the computer configurable locations are in agreement with the list. If the configuration lists references calibration certificates, these should be obtained to ensure correct values are entered in the flow computer.
- c) Check and confirm that the stream summation is carried out correctly.
- d) Check that priority alarms are operational and confirm that alarms are handled and stored adequately. Verify that limits are appropriate and representative. Ensure that the occurrence of nuisance alarms is mitigated as far as possible.
- e) Check that all calculations carried out by the supervisory/CBM computers are carried out to the agreed tolerance.
- f) In the case of DCS based systems verify the following as applicable:
  - The validity and representivity of all critical constants and/or Compterms.
  - Check flowrate calculations against offline methods, and that totaliser tests are carried out to within the agreed tolerance
- g) Where CBM facilities are being used verify that comprehensive procedures are in place to ensure appropriate operation, monitoring, and application.

Pas: (✔)	Pass (✓)		ail Not X) Possible (NP)		Not Applicable (NA)			
METER STREAM	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G
1	Pass/Fail	NA	NA	NA	Х	NA	NA	Х
L	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

#### Comments:

1. Not applicable.

2. Not applicable.

3. Not applicable – system has individual lines. Summation not done in OMNI/HMI system.

4. There does not appear to be any alarm output from the USMs to the computer system. The alarm limits at the flow computers for pressure and temperature appear to be excessive at 10-150 barg and 0-150°C respectively (live values were ~81 barg and ~16°C). The gross flow high limit on the flow computer meter set-up was noted as being 707912 m<sup>3</sup>/hr. The low limit was noted as zero (it is assumed that these units reflect standard volume flow). This limit should reflect the flow range from the meter calibration certificates. It was also reported that the alarm outputs that are part of the contractual connection agreements have still to be implemented at the HMI. (Risk Factor 3)

5. Not applicable.

6. Not applicable.

7. Calculated vs measured VOS checks completed once per week. This is based on taking the AGA-10 VOS values from the OMNIs and checking against the measured VOS from the USMs using the meter diagnostics. The diagnostic data is also downloaded to a spreadsheet and checked by the metering technician. Further CBM could be adopted by comparing pressure and temperature values. Given the level of redundancy in this system, the CBM capabilities of this system could be used to justify a reduction in maintenance. (Comment)

8. The only parameter that appears to be stored in the archive data is hourly based increments. (Comment)



# 14.4.3 Data Transmission

- a) Confirm that metering data is transmitted correctly from the flow computer system to the installation control system
- b) Confirm that metering data is transmitted correctly from the flow computer system to the main control centre, for example: Flowrates, flow totals, temperatures, pressures, densities, compositions, dew points.
- c) Confirm that the daily reported quantities, and gas composition, are correctly entered in the operational allocation system. Record the data for three random production days in the tables below. For systems where gas composition is used in the allocation, the daily composition should also be recorded.
- d) Confirm that the flow computer system time is synchronised with the installation control system.

Pass (✓)	Fail ( <mark>X</mark> )	N Possit	lot ble (NP)	Not Applicable (NA)		
ITEM	А	В	B C		D	
Pass/Fail	✓	NP	NP X		X	
Comment No.	1	2	3		4	

#### Comments:

1. System ICSS used as HMI.

2. Not witnessed.

3. When the 06:00 OMNI report for the 25<sup>th</sup> May 2022 for FQI-9202 and FQI-9204 was compared against the reported hourly figures supplied by INGL, the energy figures did not correlate closely. (Category 2)

4. There is no clock pulse from the ICSS to the OMNIs. One computer was selected at random and was noted to be ~ 2 minutes slow. The OMNIs themselves are also 1hour behind actual time until October when the clocks go forward. It was reported that this is to prevent complications with clock changes – i.e., with a 23 hour day and a 25 hour day. (Comment)



## 14.5 Documentation

- a) Ensure that a system measurement manual exists for the metering station and that it contents are representative and correct.
- b) Ensure that logbooks, electronic or handwritten, exist for the metering system and confirm that totaliser stop and start figures are entered into logbooks when a stream is taken on or offline, together with the date and time and reason for the stream change.
- c) Check that all major events are logged, including details of any equipment replaced and the reason for their replacement. Issues that are likely to have caused measurement errors should be investigated.
- d) Ensure that suitable procedures exist for the operation of the metering system. Review the procedures to confirm that they contain sufficient detail and indicate the roles and responsibilities of everyone involved with the operation of the system.
- e) Review the approved maintenance/calibration procedures and confirm suitability.
- f) Review the maintenance/calibration records and confirm if the results are considered acceptable, with minimal failures to achieve the agreed tolerances.
- g) Review the documentation and procedures for capturing mis-measurements. Evaluate the cause of any mis-measurements since the previous audit. Check some examples to ensure correct and timely application in the accounts system.
- h) Review the approved uncertainty calculations and confirm suitability.
- i) Review the calibration test equipment certification and confirm the suitability of the equipment for this application. Ensure that the test equipment is dedicated to the calibration of metering equipment only.
- Review the documentation and procedures for obtaining dispensations. Ensure dispensations and appropriate action plans were requested where necessary. Evaluate the cause of any dispensations over the audit period.

Pass	Fail	l Not			Not						
(🔨)	(X)	Possible (NP)			Possible (NP) Applicable (			e (NA	(NA)		
DOCUMENTATION	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G	Н	I	J
1	Pass/Fail	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	NP	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NP	X	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	Х
	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

#### Comments:

1. Measurement manual supplied (Doc Number LPP-OP-NEM-OPS-MAN-0001 Rev C2 Oct '19).

2. No common equipment logbook for the analyser systems. (Comment)

3. See above comment 2. No issues that may have resulted in mismeasurement noted from the start of the logbooks.

4. Operational procedures not supplied during site visit.

5. Maintenance procedures part of above measurement manual – noted to be satisfactory.

6. No failures noted in maint history from January 2020.

Given level of redundancy with the USMs, flow computers, and

pressure/temperature transmitters, along with the calibration history – the frequency of calibrations could be relaxed. If calibration frequencies cannot be relaxed, then an additional experienced technician resource should be considered. 7. It was demonstrated that a mismeasurement process is in place; however, the procedure was not supplied and there were no outstanding or historical

mismeasurements. (Comment)

8. The reported energy uncertainty does not appear to be representative. (Risk Factor 3)

9. No issues noted. It was reported that there is a two yearly calibration interval. However, yearly calibrations are applied. See details in table below:

Equipment	Serial Number	Certificate Date	Certificate Number
Beamex MC6	702361	20/03/2022	2033166/2-3-4
Beamex EXT250	74292	20/03/2022	2203166/5
Beamex Probe	06458	06/06/2021	2105332/6

The EXT250 above is the external pressure module used for pressure transmitter calibrations. Historical certificates also supplied, which matched the serial numbers on the supplied April 2022 test sheets.

10. There does not appear to be a dispensation process in place. (Comment)



# 14.6 Metering Management

- a) Confirm that the metering system is being operated and maintained as indicated in the pertaining commercial agreements.
- b) Ensure that all personnel involved with the operation and maintenance of the metering and sampling systems display sufficient competency on the system.
- c) Ensure that sufficient spares are available and not subject to excessive expediting delays to minimise downtime and errors due to equipment failures.
- d) Ensure that any modifications, or changes, made to the system since the last audit have been recorded and that the relevant interested parties have been informed of the changes.

Pass (✔)	Fail (X)	Not Possible (NP)		Not Applicable (NA)		
DOCUMENTATION	ITEM	А	В	С	D	
1	Pass/Fail	✓	✓	X	NA	
Ţ	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	

#### Comments:

1. Given the levels of redundancy and equipment performance demonstrated via observed and supplied data it would be unlikely if the system was non-compliant. However, the system, whilst having excellent levels of redundancy for the meter runs, does not have the same level of capability for the gas quality determination. It is recognised that the gas composition is relatively stable and that having only one GC does not result in a measurement integrity issue, nonetheless a lack of redundancy could lead to potential exposure to commercial/reputational risk if the GC fails and results in the use of "Fixed" compositions for extended periods. (Comment)

#### 2. No issues noted.

3. As seen from the issue with expediting the analyser sample conditioning heated regular – there appears to be issues with timely supply of critical spares. (Risk Factor 3)

4. Not applicable – initial audit.



# **Gas Measurement Integrity Audits**

# Israel Natural Gas Lines (INGL)

# AOT Custody Transfer Gas Audit May 2022

Document Reference: MK4266 - 002 Client Reference: 334782

Document Author: Mal MacCall

Contact: mal.maccall@kelton.co.uk

# **Kelton Engineering Ltd**

The Mackenzie Building, 168 Skene Street Aberdeen, AB10 1PE, Scotland, UK t: +44 (0) 1224 630000 f: +44 (0) 1224 630004 e: info@kelton.co.uk w: www.kelton.co.uk



# Contents

1	Revision Control					
2	Introduct	ion3				
2.1	System Description					
3	Acknowle	edgements3				
4	Wash-up	Meeting Attendees				
5	Manager	nent Summary4				
6	Audit Poi	nt Classification5				
7	Findings	Summary5				
8	Audit Ob	jectives and Scope6				
9	Terms of	Reference				
10	Com	nmon Acronyms7				
11	Syst	em Inventory8				
12	Арр	licable Standards9				
13	Rep	orted Uncertainty9				
14	Aud	it Findings10				
Audi	t Criteria					
14.1	UFMs					
14.2	Turbine N	Aeters				
14.3	Secondar	y Equipment25				
	14.3.1	Pressure Measurement25				
	14.3.2	Temperature Measurement				
14.4	Quality N	1easurement				
	14.4.1	GC				
	14.4.2	Dew Point Measurement				
	14.4.3	H <sub>2</sub> S Measurement				
	14.4.4	Sampling				
14.5	Flow Con	nputers				
	14.5.1	Gas Flow Computer				
	14.5.2	Supervisory Computer/DCS/CBM				
	14.5.3	Data Transmission				
14.6	Documer	station				
14.7	Metering	Management				



# **1** Revision Control

Rev	Issue date	Description	Prep.	App.
1	17/06/2022	Issued for comment	MM	RA
2	08/07/2022	Issued as Final	MM	DS

# 2 Introduction

KELTON<sup>tm</sup> were approached on behalf of Israel Natural Gas Lines (INGL) to complete a gas measurement integrity audit on the Ashdod Onshore Terminal (AOT) site.

The AOT site custody transfer gas measurement station comprises the boundary of this audit, which was conducted between the 29<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> of May 2022 by Malcolm MacCall of KELTON Engineering Ltd.

# 2.1 System Description

The AOT custody transfer gas metering system consists of three meter runs each of which comprises of the following:

- 1 x USM (Duty)
- 1 x Turbine Meter (Check)
- 4 x Pressure transmitters
- 4 x Temperature transmitters

Common equipment comprises of:

- 1 x C6+ Gas chromatograph (GC)
- 1 x H<sub>2</sub>S analyser
- 1 x Moisture analyser
- 1 x Hydrocarbon Dewpoint (HDP) analyser
- 8 x Multi-Stream Flow Computers (quadruple redundant)

There is no supervisory system. The flow computers are interfaced via an Integrated Control and Safety System (ICSS) based human-machine interface (HMI).

# **3** Acknowledgements

The auditor would like to thank Philip Krasnopolsky (INGL) and the AOT technicians (Mark Donnelly – Rust Resources and Dan Teterson and Ofer Einav - Chevron) for their invaluable assistance during the audit process.

# 4 Wash-up Meeting Attendees

Auditor			
INGL Measurement Engineer			
Measurement Support Engineer			
Site Measurement Technician			

Mal MacCall (Kelton) Philip Krasnopolsky (INGL) Keith Brown (Rust Resources Ltd) Mark Donnelly (Rust Resources Ltd)



# 5 Management Summary

The AOT gas metering system has two Risk Factor 2 findings and seven Risk Factor 3 audit findings identified during this audit. These are:

Risk Factor2

- USM Calibration Issues: May result in a potential for flowrate bias if there are traceability issues found with the calibration curve settings.
- Dataflow Issues: May result in mis-reporting exposures.

# Risk Factor 3

- USM Internal Diameter (ID) Traceability: Minor compliance issue.
- USM Spool Corrections: Small potential for flowrate bias if not applied or not applied correctly.
- Unrepresentative Uncertainty Values: Representative uncertainty calculations are essential to ensure the system is operating within contractual limits.
- Spares Lead Times: If critical spares are not available in a timely manner, then confidence in the system performance may be reduced until the situation has been resolved by replacement/repair of the affected item(s).
- GC performance: Response factor (RF) benchmark and asynchronous component response factor trending can highlight component uncertainty issues and therefore reduce confidence with the reported analyses.
- Alarm and Event Handling/Monitoring: It is acknowledged that the metering department should capture most events/issues via daily checks and monitoring; however, ineffective alarm and event handling/monitoring issues could lead to situations where issues are not detected/reported in a timely manner.
- Master Parameter Lists: The site uses the latest configuration dumps; however, there are no formal revision controlled master parameter lists. Formal, controlled master lists increase confidence in the flow computer settings by helping to reduce the potential for confusion and/or the use of unrepresentative values.

# The detailed findings, significance, and recommended actions are noted in Section 14 of this document.

Additional comments were also made to highlight issues where potential for improvement and/or enhancements could be made. The main comments can be seen in Section 14 with other observations in the audit criteria.



# 6 Audit Point Classification

Risk Factor 1	A serious control weakness that could expose the business to a major risk and that requires immediate corrective action. It will impair the achievement of business objectives.
Risk Factor 2	A medium control weakness which of itself would not be serious but could adversely impact the business and requires scheduled corrective action.
Risk Factor 3	A minor control weakness where the impact on the business would have a low significance but scheduled corrective action is still required.
Comment	An item, which does not have a significant impact on the business, but if corrected may result in improvements to the efficiency/effectiveness of the measurement integrity process.

Recommended Action Periods <sup>1</sup>		
Risk Factor 1	3 months	
Risk Factor 2	6 months	
Risk Factor 3	9 months	

# 7 Findings Summary

	Risk Factor 1	Risk Factor 2	Risk Factor 3
Ashdod AOT Site	0	1	5
Total	0	1	5
Open Items @ Final Report Issue	0	1	5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on typical periods found with other global operators/pipelines



# 8 Audit Objectives and Scope

# Objectives

- a) Assess the current condition of the Metering Station(s)
- b) Assess the Metering Station(s) historical performance
- c) Establish if the metering station(s) have been operating to the required standards
- d) Assess the integrity of the data transmitted from the metering system into the relevant allocation and accounting process
- e) Follow-up previous audit action items (where applicable)
- f) Review system documentation
- g) Where required and information is supplied determine metering station(s) compliance with relevant company policies, government regulations & guidelines and applicable operating, transportation & sales agreements.

# Scope

The following areas to be audited/reviewed include:

# System Areas

- a) the total metering system(s) including primary elements
- b) gas quality measurement system(s)
- c) the flow of data (measured to allocated)
- d) the metering system(s) calibration equipment.

# Control Areas

- a) the maintenance and calibration history records and schedules
- b) the system uncertainty (design to actual)
- c) the procedures
- d) the logbooks and measurement manuals
- e) the metrological certification
- f) the master configuration lists and files for computers and primary elements
- g) the experience and competence of staff
- h) the roles and responsibilities

# 9 Terms of Reference

The audit was conducted against the following (where supplied):

- a) Applicable Contractual Operating & Transportation Agreements
- b) Applicable Governmental Guidelines and Policies
- c) Applicable International Metrological Procedures and Standards



# **10** Common Acronyms

The following common abbreviations and acronyms are used in this document:

Acronym	Meaning
ISO	International Standards Organisation
UFM/USM	Ultrasonic Flow Meters
GC	Gas Chromatograph
OIML	The International Organisation of Legal Metrology
AGA	American Gas Association
API	American Petroleum Institute
IP	Institute of Petroleum
ICSS	Integrated Control and Safety System
CBM	Condition Based Monitoring



# **11 System Inventory**

# **Primary Data**

Primary Device(s)	3 x USM (Duty), 3 x Turbine (Check)		
Manufacturer of Device(s)	Instromet (QSonic5 USM) Instromet (QIC Turbine Meter)		
Number of Streams	3		
Redundancy of Streams		Yes	No
Proving or Verification Device(s)		Yes	No
Proving or Verification Type	Check meters		

# Secondary Data

Density Measurement Method	<del>ρ Live</del>	Calculated
Manufacturer of Density Device	N/A	
Density Calculation Method	AGA-8 (1994)	
Relative Density Method	RD Live	Calculated
Manufacturer of Relative Density Analyser	N/A	
Standard Density Calculation Method	AGA-8 (1994)	
Energy Calculation Method	ISO-6976 (1995)	
Composition Determination Method	<del>Manual</del> Sample Analysis	Online Chromatograph

	Denv	ering measurement	
Manufacturer of Composition Device	Emerson 700XA x 1		)XA x 1
Sampling Method	Manual Sample Analysis		<del>Automatic</del> <del>Sampling</del>
Pressure Measurement Method	Single		Redundant
Manufacturer of Pressure Device(s)	Emerson 3051S2TG x 12		2TG x 12
Temperature Measurement Method	PT100 Transmitter		Redundant
Manufacturer of Temperature Device(s)	Emerson 3144P x12		4P x12

# Flow Computer System

Redundancy of SupervisoryYesNo		No	
Manufacturer of Supervisory		N/A	
Redundancy of Stream(s)		<del>Yes</del>	No
Manufacturer of Stream(s)		OMNI	
Model of Stream(s)		6000 x 8	
Other Flow Computation Device		Yes	No
Manufacturer of Other Device		ICSS	·



# **12** Applicable Standards

Uncertainty	ISO-5168
Flow	AGA-9/ISO-17089/OIMLR-137/ ISO-9951
Density	AGA-8
Standard Density	AGA-8
Calorific Value	ISO-6976
Sampling	ISO-10715
Online analysis	ISO-6974
Calibration gas mixtures	ISO-6141/6142/6143

# **13 Reported Uncertainty**

Uncertainty Basis	Gross Volume	Energy
Design Calculated Uncertainty	0.232%	0.525%
Contractual Limit	±1%	±1%



# 14 Audit Findings

<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	<u>Category</u>
1	Production Dataflow Issue			
	When the 06:00 OMNI reports for the 1 <sup>st</sup>	Dataflow issues may result in mis-	To ensure complete alignment of	Risk Factor 2
	May 2022, 14 <sup>th</sup> May 2022, and 28 <sup>th</sup> May	reporting exposures.	reported data - the reasons for the	
	2022 were compared against the		apparent differences in reported	Open
	reported hourly figures supplied by		totals from the AOT gas metering	
	INGL, the energy figures did not		computer system and the INGL	
	correlate exactly.		hourly totals shall be established and	
	The figures for the 1 <sup>st</sup> May appear to be		rectified as required.	
	out for one hour and this was confirmed			
	by comparing the one hour OMNI totals.			
	However, on the 14 <sup>th</sup> May and the 28 <sup>th</sup>			
	May there were -0.35% and -0.005%			
	differences respectively, which cannot			
	currently be explained by a one hour			
	difference. It was also noted that			
	duplicate values from the supplied hour			
	totals and .xml files were evident – some			
	examples are: On the 2 <sup>nd</sup> May 2022 the			
	18:00 total was 40029.16 MMBtu, it was			
	exactly the same total again at 03:00 on			
	the 15 <sup>th</sup> May 2022. Again on the 28 <sup>th</sup>			
	May 2022 at 06:00 the total was			
	4541/.5 MMBtu and exactly the same			
	value again on the 29 <sup>th</sup> May 2022 at			
	00:00.			
	Post Audit Update			
	It was reported that a contributory			
	reason for the above could be that the			



<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	Category
	values at the OMNI are integers and the flows are very stable, which may result in flows that are the same as a previous hour. However, it does not explain why the same numbers appear some days later.			
2	USM Traceability Issues Whilst there were stated internal diameters (ID) on the wet calibration certificates, there were no formal dry metrology documents to show traceability to the IDs of the USMs. The USM standards noted as referenced in the supplied system handbook (AOT-OP- HMS-OPS-MAN-0001 Rev C1 Nov '14) are – AGA-9 (2007) and ISO-17089 (2010). Both of the above standards require the ID to be determined as per Chapter 6.2 and Chapter 5.9.3.3. (Criteria Reference – 14.1 Comments 1 and 7)	USM IDs are a critical element in the determination of flowrates. It is recognised that the likelihood of the in use values being incorrect is small, nonetheless, traceability issues with the USMs will exist until formal dry metrology documents that conform to the standards referenced in the system databook are made available.	Formal dry metrology documents shall be traced for all three AOT USMs to establish a traceability path that conforms to AGA-9 (2007) Chapter 6.2 and ISO-17089 (2010) Chapter 5.9.3.3. Once the formal dry metrology showing the appropriate traceability is available, it shall be located in the site metering folder for future auditing and information purposes.	Risk Factor 3 Open



Delivering Measurement Excellence

<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	Category
5	USM Spool Corrections			
	On the Instromet QSonic5 duty USMs it was not possible to determine if the	A small flowrate bias may exist if USM spool corrections are not	All AOT gas metering system stakeholders shall be contacted to	Risk Factor 3
	above corrections are applied.	implemented.	establish if USM spool corrections	Open
	It was also not possible to determine		are required.	
	during the site visit if implementation of		If it is established that USM spool	
	requirement		AOT gas metering system duty USMs	
			shall be setup accordingly. Ideally,	
			live pressure and temperature values	
			should be used, which would require	
			signals to be made available to the	
			USIVIS. If this is not possible then any	
			regular review to ensure they are	
			representative.	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.1 Comment 11)			
6	Reported System Uncertainty Values			
	The quoted uncertainty for energy flow	Representative uncertainty	The AOT uncertainty calculations	Risk Factor 3
	appear to be unrealistically low at	calculations are essential to	shall be revisited to ensure	
	0.525%.	ensure the system is operating	representative of the current setup	Open
	(Criteria Reference – 14.6 Comment 8)		and process.	
7	Gas Chromatograph (GC) Performance			
	From the sites response factor (RF)	The RF trending facility is a useful	a) The AOT gas metering GC RF	Risk Factor 3
	monitoring facility, it was noted that	indicator of GC performance and	benchmark data set should be	
	there is step change in the C6+	requires representative	updated to ensure the data set is	Open
	benchmark value. The current data set is	benchmark data to be an effective	representative.	
	based on KF information from 2018.	monitoring tool.	b) It is recommended to carry out	
	benchmark trend shows better results		$\beta$ it is recommended to carry out repeatability tests on the $\Delta OT$ gas	
	Schennark a cha shows better results.		repeatability tests on the AOT gas	



<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	Category
	From supplied GC response factor (RF)	It is recognised that measurement	metering GC (compliance as per	
	data it was also noted that there are	risks are minor in this instance;	ASTM D1945-14 - 2019) to ensure	
	asynchronous RF trends on the i-Butane,	however, asynchronous	there are no event or valve timing	
	and n-Butane components.	component RF trending can	issues.	
		highlight component uncertainty		
		issues and therefore reduce		
		confidence with the reported		
		analyses.		
_	(Criteria Reference – 14.4.1 Comment 8)			
8	Master Parameter Lists			
	The site uses the latest configuration	Formal, controlled master lists	Formal master parameter lists should	Risk Factor 3
	dumps; however, there are no formal	increase confidence in the flow	be implemented for the AOT gas	
	revision controlled master parameter	computer settings by helping to	metering system flow computers and	Open
	lists.	reduce the potential for confusion	USM transmitter settings.	
		and/or the use of		
	(Criteria Reference – 14.5.1 Comment 3)	unrepresentative values.		
9	Spares Lead Times			
	A lack of spare USMs and associated	If critical spares are not available	Appropriate measures shall be taken	Comment
	components was reported at AO1. This	in a timely manner, then	to ensure access to critical spares for	
	may become more of an issue as the	confidence in the system	the AOI gas metering system can be	
	2006 meters are now "legacy" items and	performance may be reduced	achieved in a timely manner, which	
	as such may be subject to support	until the situation has been	In turn should help reduce exposures	
	and/or component supply issues.	resolved by replacement/repair of	to any measurement integrity issues	
		the affected item(s).	caused by equipment failure(s).	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.7 Comment 3)			



<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	<u>Category</u>
10	Alarm and Event Handling/Monitoring			
	Alarm functions reported as set up in the	It is acknowledged that the	It should be demonstrated that the	Comment
	HMI. From the connection agreement	metering department should	AOT gas metering computer system	
	the following are configured in the HMI	capture most events/issues via	has a robust alarm/event handling	
	to alarm: Pressure and temperature,	daily checks and monitoring;	system. This should include how	
	H <sub>2</sub> S, HCDP, WDP, Gas Flow off spec (CH <sub>4</sub> ,	however, alarm and event	operations respond and handle	
	$N_2$ , $CO_2$ , Wobbe, CV, and difference	handling/monitoring issues could	critical metering alarms and events,	
	between duty/check meters).	lead to situations where issues	and also indication of critical USM	
	It is not clear if USM diagnostic alarms	may go undetected.	alarm situations.	
	are available to the HMI alarm system.			
	A document was also supplied which is			
	to provide operations guidance on OMNI			
	alarms for out of hours cover. However,			
	it is not clear from the supplied			
	information on how/when operations			
	respond to these alarms.			
	(Criteria Reference – 14.5.2 Comment 4)			
11	Dispensation Request System			
	There does not appear to be a formal	A dispensation system adds a level	An appropriate dispensation system	Comment
	dispensation request system/process in	of control to measurement issues	and procedure should be	
	place.	that may be subject to delayed	implemented for the AUI gas	
		resolution.	metering system	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.6 Comment 10)			



Delivering Measurement Excellence

<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	Category
12	Maintenance Frequency	Excessive calibration frequencies	It is recognised that the monthly	Comment
	noted from the supplied information,	result in unnecessary man-hour	calibration frequencies are a	comment
	along with the levels of redundancy	expenditure. Additionally, if there	requirement, nonetheless a case	
	flow computers, and	completing tasks that are not	metering system stakeholders to	
	pressure/temperature transmitters - the	necessary on a monthly basis,	reduce maintenance frequencies to	
	frequency of calibrations could be	then other important tasks may	more appropriate levels.	
	up meeting it was reported that		include the excellent history of	
	maintenance has to be completed in a		calibration results and the levels of	
	period no greater than 42 days.		redundancy available.	
	(Criteria Reference – 14.6 Comment 6)			
13	Logbooks/Analyser Maintenance			
	Whilst information was supplied for the	Whilst not presenting a direct	It is recommended that information	Comment
	turbine meters, USMs,	measurement integrity issue – a	on the AOT gas metering analyser	
	Pressure/Temperature transmitters, and	lack of a common equipment	system maintenance is recorded and	
	analyser test noted was for monthly GC	lead to traceability issues.	the rest of the metering system.	
	auto calibration checks. There is also no			
	common equipment logbook for the			
	(Criteria Reference – 14.6 Comment 2)			



14       Calibration Test Forms       And the component of	<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	Category
%.       The AGA-10 test form does not show the pressure and temperature used for the test.       test form shall have the error noted in % as opposed to °C.         Units are missing from the totaliser test forms.       b) AOT gas metering AGA-10 test form shall show the pressure and temperature used for the test.         The density, calorific value, and standard density test forms do not have any reference to the standard used.       c) The required units shall be added to the AOT gas metering totaliser forms.         d) The AOT gas metering density, calorific value, and standard density test forms shall show the applicable standard density test forms shall show the applicable standard sused for testing.	14	Calibration Test Forms The temperature transmitter test form has the error sections reflected in °C not %. The AGA-10 test form does not show the pressure and temperature used for the test. Units are missing from the totaliser test forms. The density, calorific value, and standard density test forms do not have any reference to the standard used. (Criteria Reference – 14.5.1 Comment 7)	Minor traceability issues with calibration test forms.	<ul> <li>a) The AOT gas metering system calibration temperature transmitter test form shall have the error noted in % as opposed to °C.</li> <li>b) AOT gas metering AGA-10 test form shall show the pressure and temperature used for the test.</li> <li>c) The required units shall be added to the AOT gas metering totaliser forms.</li> <li>d) The AOT gas metering density, calorific value, and standard density test forms shall show the applicable standards used for testing.</li> </ul>	Comment



Delivering Measurement	Exce	lence
------------------------	------	-------

<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	Category
15	Mismeasurement Process			
15	It was demonstrated that a mismeasurement process is in place and the procedure was noted on the front of each report. AOT had 15 mismeasurements completed from May 2019 to July 2021. Most appear to be for USM flow when offline, with others noted for USM signal dropout. It was reported that the Gas Controllers apply corrections; however, implementation in accounts was not witnessed during the	The audit/document trail will be incomplete If there is no sign off section to show that a correction has been implemented by hydrocarbon accounting. Any mismeasurment methodology should also be agreed with INGL.	it is recommended that a section is added to the AOT gas metering mismeasurement reports to show totals have been amended/ implemented by accounts/ gas controllers and approved by INGL to fully close out the mismeasurement process.	Comment
	site visit.			
	from hydrocarbon accounts or INGL.			
	(Criteria Reference – 14.6 Comment 7)			



Delivering Measurement Excellence
-----------------------------------

<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	Category
16	AOT Meter Calibration Certificates			
	The turbine meter and USM calibration	If it cannot be determined if the	a) The calibration set-ups for AOT gas	Comment
	certificates for FT-1441 shows the	turbine meters and USMs had the	metering system turbine meter and	
	pipework set-up at CEESI; however, the	appropriate spools during	USM tag numbers FT-1401, FT-1411,	
	older certificates for FT-1401, FT-1411,	calibrations, then it is not possible	FT-1421, FT-1431, and FT-1451	
	FT-1421, FT-1431, and FT-1451 do not	to verify if the calibration set-ups	should be checked/verified. If not	
	show a calibration set-up.	were representative of the set-up	representative of the respective	
	The correct calibration curve values	at AOT.	meter run set-ups at AOT, then	
	were noted in the flow computers when		approval to continue operations with	
	checked against the respective	If all critical calibration curve	the existing calibration curves until	
	calibration certificates. However, the	information is not readily available	the meters are due re-calibration	
	traceability was based on historical	for information and auditing	should be sought from all relevant	
	calibration curve conversion	purposes, then it may introduce	system stakeholders.	
	spreadsheet documents that were not	confusion and traceability issues.		
	supplied during the site visit.		b) All AOT gas metering system	
			turbine meter and USM calibration	
			curve information (including	
			conversion spreadsheets) shall be	
			made available in the AOT metering	
	(Criteria References – 14.1 Comments 2		folder for future information and	
	& 8 and 14.2 Comment 5)		auditing purposes.	



<u>ltem</u>	Findings	Significance	Agreed Recommended Action	Category
17	Meter Calibration Frequency No definitive information on calibration frequency was supplied during the site visit; however, it was reported that the actual frequency for the above may be up to 16 years (reported frequency from a document that is still in draft form).	It is recognised that the duty/check meter setup should identify drift and/or other issues; however, there is a risk that any subsequent calibrations may show a shift in calibration results, which may result in a potentially complicated mismeasurment exercise and therefore exposure to commercial risks.	<ul> <li>a) It is recommended that the AOT gas metering system meter calibration frequency has definitive information available for future information and auditing purposes.</li> <li>b) To mitigate against any measurement risks associated with shifts in AOT meter calibration results <ul> <li>consideration should be given to</li> <li>higher calibration frequency. Any</li> <li>change to the meter calibration</li> <li>frequency would also require</li> <li>approval from all system</li> <li>stakeholders.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Comment
18	Available Archive Data The only parameter that appears to be stored in the archive data is hourly based energy increments. (Criteria Reference – 14.5.2 Comment 8)	It may not be possible for INGL to recalculate energy increments in the event of missing live data.	It is recommended that averaged pressure, temperature, heating value, base/line density, and gross/ net/mass totalisers are added to the AOT archived data.	Comment



# **Audit Criteria**



#### 14.1 UFMs

- a) Check the serial number of the ultrasonic meter and cross reference to the calibration certificates and logbooks. Check that the bore size and wall thickness correspond with the manufacturer's meter dry metrology certificate.
- b) Obtain measurements of upstream and downstream straight lengths of pipework ensuring the necessary straight pipe diameters are available. If a flow straightener is installed, ensure necessary diameter lengths are available upstream. Check that the meter flow orientation is correct.
- c) Check that adequate insulation has been applied to the meter tubes to ensure thermal stability across the meters and associated instrumentation.
- d) Check the condition of cabling associated with the meter flow sensors and comment on any damage found.
- e) Observe, and comment upon any process conditions or piping configurations, which may be detrimental to the measurement integrity.
- f) Check the integrity of all path signals to ensure adequate performance, for online meters - verify that that the measured VOS and calculated VOS give good agreement. Check that condition-based monitoring (CBM) is being used and that meter performance is acceptable for all key parameters such as swirl, turbulence, SNR, AGC.
- g) Verify that all transducer constants entered in the associated flow computers, and the transducer serial numbers, match those on the master configuration lists and calibration certificates.
- h) Confirm that the method used to correct for meter calibration performance is by linear interpolation and has been implemented in the correct manner. Any other correction method shall be highlighted.
- Obtain a copy of the calibration certificate/s for the meter, ensuring that the agreed calibration frequency has been complied with and that the calibration was carried out by a recognised authority. Any associated "curve fit" constants within the system computers should reflect the values on the meter calibration certificate. Ensure that the meter signal output format matches that of the wet calibration certificate. Review historic shifts from the

previous calibrations and comment on any shifts greater than the maximum stipulated in the relevant agreements.

- j) If during the audit visit a meter is removed for recertification, check for visible damage to the meter body or transducers and for the presence of pipe scale and/or hydrocarbons in the meter and upstream/downstream pipe sections.
- k) Confirm that expansion corrections, for temperature and pressure, are being applied for the meter spool in the correct manner.

<b>Fraceability</b>
AGA 9
3S 7965
SO TR 12765
3S 8452
3S ISO-17089-1
API Chapter 5 Section 8

Pass (✓)			Fail (X)			Po	No Nossibl	ot e (NP	')	A	۱ pplica	lot able (N	A)
METER STREAM	ITEM		Α	В	с	D	Е	F	G	н	I	J	к
FT 1401	Pass/Fail		Х	Х	Х	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	Х	NA	X
F1-1401	Commer	it No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
FT 1411	Pass/Fail		Х	Х	Х	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	Х	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	Х	NA	X
FI-1411	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
FT-1441	Pass/Fail		X	X	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	X	NA	X
	Commer	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11

#### Comments:

1. Serial numbers correlate with supplied certificates; however, whilst there were stated IDs on the wet calibration certificates, there were no formal dry metrology documents as per the requirements of the standards to show traceability to the IDs of the USMs. (Risk Factor 3)

2. All three meters have a flow conditioner which is compliant with the standards at 10D. However, the pipework upstream of the flow conditioners is not



compliant with AGA-9 (2007). FT-1401 has a reverse calibration and was confirmed as installed in reverse.

The excerpt below from the calibration cert for FT-1441 shows the set-up at CEESI.



The older certificates associated with FT-1401 and FT-1411 did not show the calibration set-up as such it was not possible to determine if their respective calibrations had the appropriate spools and as such if they were representative. (Comment)

3. No insulation on meter runs. There was condensation noted on the online runs; however, given the gas velocity there should not be an issue with thermal gradients. It was reported that a roof structure is proposed for this system as

there has been historical failure of the USM electronics due to excessive temperature build up. (Comment)

- 4. No issues visually noted.
- 5. See comment 2.

6. Diagnostics print out supplied – it was noted that path performance on all meters was 100%.

7. Curve constants were correct as per the supplied information.

8. Method by linear interpolation. FT-1401/1411 are implemented in the OMNIs, whilst FT-1441 has the settings in the USM electronics. The correct values were noted in the OMNIs when checked against the respective calibration certificates. However, the traceability was based on historical documents that were not supplied during the site visit and which should be part of the document package for this system and made available or future information auditing purposes. (Comment)

# 9. Unlike the EMG and Leviathan sites the supplied CEESI data for AOT shows that the calibrations were pulse based for both USMs and Turbine meters. FT-1401 calibration date – 05/10/06, FT-1411 calibration date – 04/10/06, FT-1441 calibration date – 16/12/11. The supplied maintenance verification log shows 5 years – see excerpt below:

#### Run 1 USM KAU 1400 N/A Laboratory Calibration N/A 5 yearly Run 2 USM KAU 1410 N/A Laboratory Calibration N/A 5 yearly Run 3 Turbine meter KAU 1420 N/A Laboratory Calibration N/A 5 yearly Run 4 Turbine meter KAU 1430 N/A Laboratory Calibration N/A 5 yearly Run 5 USM KAU 1440 N/A Laboratory Calibration N/A 5 yearly KAU 1450 N/A Laboratory Calibration N/A Run 6 Turbine meter 5 yearly

If 5 years is the requirement, then the calibration dates on all three meter runs exceed the above requirement. It was reported that due to the master check setup, the frequency may be much lower than the above. It was reported that a draft document is in progress to show a calibration frequency up to 16 years; however, there was no definitive information regarding calibration frequencies available at the time of the site visits. (Comment) 10. No meters removed during site visit.

11. No spool corrections applied. (Risk Factor 3)



# 14.2 Turbine Meters

- a) Record the meter manufacturer, model and meter serial numbers and compare these against previous records/logbooks.
- b) Obtain measurements of upstream and downstream straight lengths of pipework ensuring the necessary straight pipe diameters are available. If a flow straightener is installed, ensure necessary diameter lengths are available upstream. Check that the meter flow orientation is correct.
- c) Check that adequate insulation has been applied to the meter tubes to ensure thermal stability across the meters and associated instrumentation.
- d) If during the audit visit a meter is removed for recertification, check for visible damage to the meter body or impeller and for the presence of pipe scale and/or hydrocarbons in the meter and upstream/downstream pipe sections.
- e) Check the type of meter correction being applied (single offset/correction, linear interpolation, or polynomial correction) and ensure the correct calibration parameters are recorded on the master configuration list.
- f) Obtain a copy of the calibration certificate/s for the meter, ensuring that the agreed calibration frequency has been complied with and that the calibration was carried out by a recognised authority. Review historic shifts from the previous calibrations and comment on any shifts greater than the maximum stipulated in the relevant agreements.
- g) Ensure that a suitable method exists for checking error pulses and check the recorded error pulse counts. Investigate the cause of any excessive levels found.
- h) Inspect the maintenance records and indicate any maintenance actions, e.g. meter lubrication, which are out with the planned maintenance schedule.

Pass (✓)		Fail (X)			Not Possible (NP)			Not Applicable (NA)		
METER STREAM	ITEM		А	В	С	D	Ε	F	G	Η
FT-1421	<ol> <li>Pass/Fail</li> <li>Comment No.</li> </ol>		<b>√</b>	-	X	NA	Х	Х	Х	Х
10519017			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FT-1431	31 Pass/Fail		<b>√</b>	-	X	NA	Х	Х	Х	Х
10505518	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FT-1451 Pass/		ail	Х	-	X	NA	Х	Х	Х	Х
10505519	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

#### Comments:

1. Serial numbers correlated with supplied certification; however, from the supplied OMNI configurations some serial numbers appear to be wrong for example on FQIY-8959B FT-1451 serial number is 10517023, whereas the actual serial number is 10505519. (Comment)

2. Meters installed in correct orientation. All three runs have flow conditioners installed 10D upstream from the meters. ISO-9951 suggests 5D; however, it is unlikely if this would have any measurement integrity impact.

3. As per the USM runs - No insulation on meter runs. There was condensation noted on the online runs; however, given the gas velocity there should not be an issue with thermal gradients. It was reported that a roof structure is proposed for this system as there has been historical failure of the USM electronics due to excessive temperature build up. (Comment)

4. No meters removed during site visit.

5. Linear interpolation in use. The correct values were noted in the OMNIs when checked against the respective calibration certificates. However, the traceability was based on historical documents that were not supplied during the site visit and which should be part of the document package for this system and made available or future information auditing purposes. (Comment)

#### Traceability

AGA No 7 ISO 9951 BS 4161 Part 6



#### 6. FT-1421 calibration date - 11/07/14, FT-1431 calibration date - 05/11/15, FT-1451 calibration date - 08/03/16. The supplied maintenance verification log shows 5 years - see excerpt below:

Run 1 USM	KAU 1400	N/A	Laboratory Calibration	N/A	5 yearly
Run 2 USM	KAU 1410	N/A	Laboratory Calibration	N/A	5 yearly
Run 3 Turbine meter	KAU 1420	N/A	Laboratory Calibration	N/A	5 yearly
Run 4 Turbine meter	KAU 1430	N/A	Laboratory Calibration	N/A	5 yearly
Run 5 USM	KAU 1440	N/A	Laboratory Calibration	N/A	5 yearly
Run 6 Turbine meter	KAU 1450	N/A	Laboratory Calibration	N/A	5 yearly

If 5 years is the requirement, then the calibration dates on all three meter runs exceed the above requirement. (Comment)

7. Successful monthly pulse integrity checks noted on supplied maintenance data from January 2021. From the OMNI parameter list the following was noted – Error threshold –10 hz, Max error counts/batch 50 counts. However, from supplied information there does not appear to be any bad pulse recording. As such it was not possible to determine any increments. (Comment)

8. There does not appear to be evidence of spin checks on the check meters. It was reported that this is due to process isolation issues. However, given that these meters are checking devices of a different operating methodology, then any performance issues would be identified by excessive shifts against the duty USM. (Comment)



# 14.3 Secondary Equipment

# 14.3.1 Pressure Measurement

- a) Check the serial numbers of the pressure transmitters and cross reference to the calibration certificates or logbooks.
- b) Check the condition of the pressure transmitter enclosure and heater, if fitted, and ensure that the enclosure temperature is thermostatically controlled.
- c) Observe pressure tapping's and pressure transmitters are in an appropriate location for the type of installation, ensuring that gas turbine and USM installations utilise the reference tapping. Ensure impulse lines are in good condition and as short as possible.
- d) Witness the calibration check on the pressure transmitter, referring to the detailed calibration procedures. Confirm that the pressure transmitter calibrates satisfactory.
- e) Witness re-instatement of the pressure transmitters and ensure the impulse lines are secured and leak tight.
- f) Ensure that corrections for local gravity, calibration temperature and gauge/absolute pressure, where required, are being applied correctly.
- g) Check maintenance records. Highlight any maintenance faults or discrepancies, which may have affected the pressure reported and subsequently used in the flow calculation.

Pass			Fail		No	ot		Not		
(*)			( <mark>X</mark> )		Possibl	e (NP)	Ар	plicable	(NA)	
METER STREAM	ITEM		Α	В	с	D	Е	F	G	
DT 1401	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
P1-1401	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1400	Pass/Fa	ail	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
P1-1402	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1411	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
PI-1411	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1412	Pass/Fa	ail	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
PI-1412	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1401	Pass/Fail		<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
PI-1421	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1422	Pass/Fa	ail	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	NP	NP	NA	-	
P1-1422	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1421	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
PI-1431	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1422	Pass/Fa	ail	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
PT-1432	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1441	Pass/Fa	ail	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
PI-1441	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1440	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	NA	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
г I-1442	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
DT 1/151	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A state of the state of</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
г I-1431	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NP	NP	NA	✓	
PT-1452	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

#### Traceability

BS7965 AGA 9 EN 1776



Comments:

- 1. Serial numbers correlate on supplied test sheet data.
- 2. Not applicable no enclosures.

3. No issues noted.

4. Not possible during this site visit.

5. Not possible during this site visit.

- 6. Not applicable pneumatic tester in use.
- 7. All records from Jan 2021 AF/AL.



## 14.3.2 Temperature Measurement

- a) Check the calibration certificates or logbooks to see if there have been any changes made to the temperature measurement equipment.
- b) Ensure that any possibility of thermal gradients for example between the primary elements and temperature elements have been minimised by application of appropriate insulation.
- c) Check the temperature element is installed in the correct location in relation to the primary element and that a suitable thermal conductivity medium is used.
- d) Check the availability of a thermowell adjacent to PRT to perform spot checks using a certified temperature indicator, if a test thermowell is not available ensure that a suitable alternative method exists for carrying out a loop check.
- e) Witness a functional check of each complete temperature measurement loop, referring to the detailed calibration procedures.
- f) Check maintenance records. Highlight any maintenance faults or discrepancies, which may have affected the temperature used in calculation and reported.

Pass			Fail		Not		Not		
(🔨)			( <mark>X</mark> )	Po	ossible (N	P)	Applicable (NA)		
METER STREAM	ІТ	EM	Α	В	с	D	E	F	
TT-1401	Pass/Fail		✓	Х	✓	✓	NP	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT-1402	Pass/Fail		✓	X	✓	✓	NP	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT-1411	Pass/Fail		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	X	✓	✓	NP	✓	
	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT 1412	Pass/Fail		✓	X	✓	✓	NP	✓	
11-1412	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT 1421	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	X	✓	✓	NP	✓	
11-1421	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT 1422	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	X	✓	✓	NP	✓	
11-1422	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT 1421	Pass/Fa	Pass/Fail		X	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	NP	<b>√</b>	
11-1451	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	4     5       5     NP       4     5       4     5       5     NP       4     5       5     NP       4     5       5     NP       4     5	6	
TT-1432	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	X	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NP	✓	
	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT 1441	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	X	<b>√</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	NP	<b>√</b>	
11-1441	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT 1440	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	Х	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	NP	<b>√</b>	
11-1442	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT 1/151	Pass/Fa	Pass/Fail		X	✓	✓	NP	✓	
11-1451	Comment No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	
TT 1450	Pass/Fa	ail	✓	X	✓	✓	NP	✓	
11-1452	Comme	ent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	

#### Traceability

BS 7965 EN 1776

Date: 08/07/2022 Document Number/Rev: MK4266-002/R2 Document Title: AOT Gas Audit May 22 R2



Comments:

- 1. Serial numbers correlate on supplied test sheet data.
- 2. No insulation fitted see photo below: (Comment)



Given the observed gas velocity there should be no issues with thermal gradients

- 3. No issues noted.
- 4. Test thermowells available
- 5. Not possible during this site visit.
- 6. All records from Jan 2021 AF/AL.



# 14.4 Quality Measurement

#### 14.4.1 GC

- a) Check the serial number of the GC and cross reference to the calibration certificates or logbooks.
- b) Ensure that the sample probe is located in an area of turbulence and that the sample obtained is representative of operating conditions. Ensure probe has been inspected on at least a five-yearly basis
- c) Check that the sample lines are as short as possible, and heat traced (if required) and/or insulated to prevent liquid dropout from the gas sample.The sample lines should slope upwards away from the sample point.
- d) Confirm that the heating system of the sample-conditioning system is functioning and that the pressures, temperatures and flowrates are set to their design values.
- e) Witness a calibration of the GC and check all functions with reference to the calibration procedures. Review copies of the calibration records and comment on any irregularities.
- Review calibration gas certificates, checking the location where testing and certification was carried out, the suitability and source of the calibration gas, and the representivity compared with flowing gas.
- g) If applicable, check the ISO 10723 performance evaluation for the analyser.
- h) Verify that the chromatograph response factors are being monitored via control charts and that action is taken when the response factor deviation is excessive or when response factor correlation is poor. Check if repeatability / reproducibility checks have been completed.
- Verify all calculations, density, relative density, calorific value and compressibility, carried out by the GC using the analysed data, ensuring that all calculations comply with the system design standards. Ensure that the total un-normalised concentration is within the agreed tolerances.
- j) Ensure that all the analysis data is communicated correctly to the appropriate flow computers.

#### Traceability

ISO 10723:2002 Section 5 EN 1776:1998 Section 6.2.4, 6.3.2

Pass (🔨)		Fai ( <mark>X</mark> )	I		Not Possible (NP)				Not Applicable (NA)			
GC	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	
AY-1407	Pass/Fail	<ul> <li>Image: A state of the state of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A state of the state of</li></ul>	NP	<b>√</b>	X	X	NA	<b>√</b>	
	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

#### Comments:

1. Serial number correlates with supplied data. A second GC was noted at the metering system, which is awaiting fitting in the near future. This should give increased gas quality measurement confidence due to increased redundancy.

#### (Comment)

2. It is not clear if probe has been inspected. This task is typically set at a 5 year frequency. (Comment)

3. Some low points, as such not fully compliant with ISO-10715. However, no issue with drop out reported. See photos of set-up below:



4. No issues noted. Indicator covers starting to get opaque and after time it may not be possible to see values. No condensation/icing noted on sample conditioning. (Comment)



5. Physically not witnessed; however, supplied results satisfactory.

6. No issues noted with cal gas. Component Table 1 values correlate with supplied data.

7. Not completed. The site may benefit from a performance evaluation to give added confidence in the GC output and to identify any weaknesses in GC, sample conditioning performance, and calibration gas suitability. Whilst it is appreciated that the gas composition is relatively stable, it is also recommended that consideration to adopting a condition based monitoring package is given (for example GCAS), which further ensures confidence and can highlight issues before failure. In turn this could help prevent any excessive downtime issues on a system with no redundancy, and therefore reduce any commercial exposures that may result with fixed composition operation. (Comment)

8. RF is being monitored regularly via charting functions; however, see GCAS comment above.

From the above facility it was noted that there was a step change in the C6+ element benchmark – see below:



However, if more recent data than the 2018 data than that used in the example above, the benchmark trend shows better results. See below results using data from June 2021:



Therefore the in use GC RF benchmark plot is unrepresentative.

It can be seen from the graph of RF errors below that there are some asynchronous trends for some components. The trends that are deviating most are the heavier end components which have very small concentrations and therefore measurement risks should be mitigated. However, it is recommended to carry out some repeatability tests (compliance as per ASTM D1945-14 - 2019) to ensure there are no event or valve timing issues. (Risk Factor 3)



9. Calculations completed at OMNI computers. Un-normalised % noted as being within 98% - 102% during site visit.

10. No issues noted. No alarms noted on local GC display.



# 14.4.2 Dew Point Measurement

- a) Check the serial number of the dew point analyser and cross reference to the calibration certificates or logbooks.
- b) Inspect sample conditioning system. Check for signs of contamination to the sensing elements that will result in slow response time or affect its accuracy.
- c) Where applicable, inspect the configuration of the sensor controller and ensure all parameters are configured according to the manufacturer's literature and sensor calibration data.
- d) Witness a calibration check on the dew point analyser referring to detailed calibration procedures.
- e) Review records for the dew point analyser calibrations ensuring that there are no irregularities.
- f) Review physical position of sensing probe with respect to pipeline entry / exit point.

Pass (✓)	Fail (X)			Not Possible (NP)		Not Applicable (NA)		
DEWPOINT	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	E	F	
AI-1218	Pass/Fail	NP	✓	NP	NP	X	<b>√</b>	
	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	

#### Comments:

1. Serial number – 10219584. - not possible to check against supplied information. Test sheets not supplied as such not verified.

2. See photos of set up from GC section.

3. Not witnessed.

4. Not witnessed.

5. Records reported as entered in Oracle and not checked during site visit. No common equipment logbook. (Comment)

6. Same issues as GC system. (Comment)

7. There is also a hydrocarbon dewpoint analyser fitted (AI-1217 serial number ZC-241-31124). As with the moisture and  $H_2S$  the same issues exist in terms of maint records and logbook. (Comment)


## 14.4.3 H<sub>2</sub>S Measurement

- a) Check the serial number of the  $H_2S$  analyser and cross reference to the certification or logbooks.
- b) Witness the calibration of the H<sub>2</sub>S analyser referring to detailed calibration procedures and verify range and sensitivity of the instrument.
- c) Ensure that the calibration gas range is suitable for the analyser.
- d) Review records for the  $H_2S$  analyser calibrations ensuring that there are no irregularities

Pass (✓)	Pass Fail (✓) (X)		Not Possible (NP)	Applic	Not cable (NA)
H₂S	ITEM	Α	В	С	D
	Pass/Fail	NP	NP	✓	X
	Comment No.	1	2	3	4

### Comments:

1. Serial number ZB93310542 fitted - not possible to check against supplied information. Test sheets not supplied as such not verified.

2. Not witnessed.

3. 10 ppmv gas in use.

4. Same issues as other analysers with records in Oracle and not checked during site visit. (Comment)



# 14.4.4 Sampling

# 14.4.4.1 Manual Sampling (Gas)

- a) Ensure sample lines are heat traced, insulated, slope upwards from the sample point and are as short as possible. Ensure probe has been inspected on at least a five-yearly basis
- b) Check records for sample probe type and assess the installation in relation to the representivity of the sample extracted.
- c) Witness a sample being taken referring to the specific sampling procedure.
- d) Ensure sampling containers are in good condition and appropriately labelled.
- e) Verify that the samples have been collected at the agreed frequency. Check that updates of reference composition and gas dependent parameters have been carried out at the agreed frequency.

Pass Fail (✓) (X)			No Possible	t e (NP)	No Applicat	ot ole (NA)
SAMPLER	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	E
	Pass/Fail	X	X	NP	NP	NP
	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5

#### Comments:

- 1. Same sample lines as analysers assumed as such same issues.
- 2. Same as analyser system.
- 3. Not witnessed.
- 4. Not witnessed.
- 5. Not witnessed.

**Traceability** API Chapter 14 Sections 1 ISO 10715

Date: 08/07/2022 Document Number/Rev: MK4266-002/R2 Document Title: AOT Gas Audit May 22 R2



# 14.5 Flow Computers

## 14.5.1 Gas Flow Computer

- a) Check the serial number of the flow computers and cross reference to the certification or logbooks. Ensure that all changes to flow computer software have been recorded and checked appropriately after installation.
- b) Where relevant verify the computation of all gas composition derived parameters, as detailed below, using fixed composition, temperature and pressure are carried out within the agreed tolerance. Ensure that the correct values are entered on the master configuration list.
  - Density
  - Standard Density
  - GHV
- c) Obtain the approved flow computer 'Master Configuration List' and confirm the flow computer configurable locations are in agreement with the list. If the configuration lists references calibration certificates, these should be obtained to ensure correct values are entered in the flow computer.
- d) Verify the computation of density using the certified density constants and fixed, temperature and pressure is carried out within the agreed tolerance.
- e) Verify the computation of flow-rate, for mass, standard volume and energy is carried out within the agreed tolerance.
- f) Verify the totalisation of mass, standard volume and energy is carried out within the agreed tolerance.

Pass (√)		Fail (X)		Not Possible (I	NP)	Not Applicable (NA)		
METER STREAM	ITEN	Л	Α	В	С	D	E	F
	Pass/Fai		NP	<b>√</b>	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
FQI-0910A	Comme	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Pass/Fai		NP	<b>√</b>	X	NA	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>
FQI-0910D	Comme	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Pass/Fai	l	NP	✓	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓
FQI-8919A	Comme	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Pass/Fail		NP	✓	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓
FQI-6919D	Comme	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Pass/Fai	l	NP	✓	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓
FQI-8959A	Comme	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Pass/Fai	l	NP	✓	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓
FQI-8959D	Comme	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Pass/Fai	l	NP	✓	X	NA	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓
FQI-8960A Comm		nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Pass/Fai		NP	✓	X	NA	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	✓
FU1-0900B	Comme	nt No.	1	2	3	4	5	6

#### Comments:

1. Not witnessed.

2. Test results from January 2021 all AF/AL.

3. No formal master parameter list is in use. The site uses the latest parameter dumps from the OMNIs as the master lists. Given the issues with confirming the meter calibration constants – implementation of master lists would help to control the situation and avoid confusion. (Risk Factor 3)



Serial numbers for FT-1452A noted to be 10517023 in OMNI configurations for FQI8960 A and B – see example below: (Comment)

<ul> <li>Meter Information —</li> </ul>	
ID:	FT-1452A
Model:	
Size:	24 inch
S/N:	10517023
Meter Factor:	1.0

4. No densitometers – not applicable.

5. Flow tests not witnessed; however, test results from January 2021 all AF/AL.

6. Totaliser test not witnessed; however, test results from January 2021 all AF/AL.



# 14.5.2 Supervisory Computer/DCS/CBM

- a) Check the serial number of the supervisory/DCS/CBM computers and cross reference to the certification or logbooks.
- b) Obtain the approved supervisory computer/DCS/CBM 'Master Configuration Lists' and confirm the computer configurable locations are in agreement with the list. If the configuration lists references calibration certificates, these should be obtained to ensure correct values are entered in the flow computer.
- c) Check and confirm that the stream summation is carried out correctly.
- d) Check that priority alarms are operational and confirm that alarms are handled and stored adequately. Verify that limits are appropriate and representative. Ensure that the occurrence of nuisance alarms is mitigated as far as possible.
- e) Check that all calculations carried out by the supervisory/CBM computers are carried out to the agreed tolerance.
- f) In the case of DCS based systems verify the following as applicable:
  - The validity and representivity of all critical constants and/or Compterms.
  - Check flowrate calculations against offline methods, and that totaliser tests are carried out to within the agreed tolerance
- g) Where CBM facilities are being used verify that comprehensive procedures are in place to ensure appropriate operation, monitoring, and application.

Pas: (✔)	5 I	Fail (X)		Not Possible (NP)			Not Applicable (NA)			
METER STREAM	ITEM	Α	В	с	D	Е	F	G		
1	Pass/Fail	NA	NA	✓	Х	NA	NA	Х		
Ţ	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

#### Comments:

1. Not applicable.

2. Not applicable.

3. Noted as satisfactory from supplied OMNI 06:00 reports.

4. Alarm functions reported as set up in the HMI.

From the connection agreement the following are configured in the HMI to alarm:

- Pressure and temperature,
- H2S, HCDP, WDP,
- Gas Flow off spec CH4, N2, CO2, Wobbe, CV, and difference between duty/check meters

A document was supplied which was to provide ops guidance on OMNI alarm for out of hours cover. However, it was reported that the alarms at the OMNI flow computers are typically ignored by Ops.

It is also not clear if USM alarms from the diagnostics are available. (Risk Factor 3) 5. Not applicable.

6. Not applicable.

7. Calculated vs measured VOS checks completed once per week. This is based on taking the AGA-10 VOS values from the OMNIs and checking against the measured VOS from the USMs using the meter diagnostics. The diagnostic data is also downloaded to a spreadsheet and checked by the metering technician. Further CBM could be adopted by comparing pressure and temperature values. Given the level of redundancy in this system, the CBM capabilities of this system could be used to justify a reduction in maintenance. (Comment)

8. The only parameter that appears to be stored in the archive data is hourly based increments. (Comment)



### 14.5.3 Data Transmission

- a) Confirm that metering data is transmitted correctly from the flow computer system to the installation control system
- b) Confirm that metering data is transmitted correctly from the flow computer system to the main control centre, for example:
  - Liquid systems flowrates, flow totals, temperatures, pressures, densities, water cuts,
  - Gas systems flowrates, flow totals, temperatures, pressures, densities, compositions, dew points.
- c) Confirm that the daily reported quantities, and gas composition, are correctly entered in the operational allocation system. Record the data for three random production days in the tables below. For systems where gas composition is used in the allocation, the daily composition should also be recorded.
- d) Confirm that the flow computer system time is synchronised with the installation control system.

		-					
Pass	Fail	Ν	lot		Not		
(🖌)	( <mark>X</mark> )	Possik	ole (NP)	Ap	plicable (NA)		
ITEM	А	В	С		D		
Pass/Fail	✓	NP	X		NP		
Comment No.	1	2	3		3		4

### Comments:

1. System ICSS used as HMI.

2. Not witnessed.

3. When the 06:00 OMNI reports for the 1<sup>st</sup> May 2022, 14<sup>th</sup> May 2022, and 28<sup>th</sup> May 2022 were compared against the reported hourly figures supplied by INGL, the energy figures did not correlate closely. The figures for the 1<sup>st</sup> May appear to be out for one hour and this was confirmed by comparing the one hour OMNI totals. However, on the 14<sup>th</sup> May and the 28<sup>th</sup> May there were -0.35% and -0.005% differences respectively, which currently cannot be explained by an hour difference. It was also noted that duplicate values from the supplied hour totals and .xml files were evident – some examples are: On the 2<sup>nd</sup> May 2022 the 18:00 total was 40029.16 MMBtu, it was exactly the same total again at 03:00 on the 15<sup>th</sup> May 2022. Again on the 28<sup>th</sup> May 2022 at 06:00 the total was 45417.5 MMBtu and exactly the same value again on the 29<sup>th</sup> May 2022 at 00:00. See table below: (Category 2)

2.05.2022	Energy	14.05.2022	Energy	15.05.2022	Energy	16.05.2022	Energy	28.05.2022	Energy	29.05.2022	Energy	30.05.2022	Energy
06:00	41131.47	06:00	42595.84	06:00	39366.63	06:00	40643.34	06:00	45417.5	06:00	45358.73	06:00	44933.1
07:00	41128.63	07:00	45164.43	07:00	41081.23	07:00	41946.59	07:00	45445.93	07:00	45426.98	07:00	44929.3
08:00	42712.43	08:00	44057.38	08:00	41720.06	08:00	43121.88	08:00	45471.52	08:00	45366.31	08:00	44937.
09:00	42264.11	09:00	41132.41	09:00	41891.62	09:00	41149.48	09:00	45615.59	09:00	45400.44	09:00	44990.0
10:00	42167.43	10:00	37162.01	10:00	38167.64	10:00	41019.63	10:00	45114.2	10:00	45427.92	10:00	44998.5
11:00	42159.85	11:00	36928.84	11:00	35192.45	11:00	41024.36	11:00	45053.54	11:00	45415.6	11:00	45008.0
12:00	39348.63	12:00	38631.13	12:00	33721.43	12:00	41026.26	12:00	44747.39	12:00	45408.97	12:00	44997.6
13:00	39847.18	13:00	40849.02	13:00	37841.59	13:00	41040.48	13:00	45493.32	13:00	45223.19	13:00	44979.
14:00	44365.42	14:00	43745.55	14:00	39983.66	14:00	41034.79	14:00	45501.85	14:00	45398.54	14:00	
15:00	45170.12	15:00	44061.17	15:00	36545.93	15:00	41068.91	15:00	45489.53	15:00	45335.04	15:00	
16:00	45571.99	16:00	44076.34	16:00	33096.82	16:00	42843.23	16:00	45462.05	16:00	45299.02	16:00	
17:00	45525.55	17:00	45530.29	17:00	35885.3	17:00	41683.1	17:00	45408.97	17:00	45302.81	17:00	
18:00	40029.16	18:00	45600.42	18:00	41722.91	18:00	38901.25	18:00	45258.27	18:00	45335.04	18:00	
19:00	39915.42	19:00	42700.11	19:00	44611.85	19:00	38520.23	19:00	45272.48	19:00	45342.62	19:00	
20:00	39908.78	20:00	35683.41	20:00	42184.49	20:00	41052.8	20:00	45278.16	20:00	45376.74	20:00	
21:00	37974.29	21:00	30204.09	21:00	42092.55	21:00	39776.09	21:00	44211.88	21:00	45433.61	21:00	
22:00	37977.13	22:00	31828.64	22:00	42090.66	22:00	39001.72	22:00	38914.52	22:00	45435.51	22:00	
23:00	43615.7	23:00	30271.38	23:00	41791.15	23:00	39008.36	23:00	42207.24	23:00	45426.02	23:00	
00:00	39980.82	00:00	29966.18	00:00	42090.66	00:00	39987.45	00:00	45345.46	00:00	45417.5	00:00	
01:00	39943.85	01:00	34906.21	01:00	40139.1	01:00	40359	01:00	45433.61	01:00	45403.28	01:00	
02:00	39934.38	02:00	35104.3	02:00	40020.63	02:00	38300.34	02:00	45478.16	02:00	45438.35	02:00	
03:00	41780.72	03:00	34977.29	03:00	40029.16	03:00	38051.06	03:00	45523.65	03:00	45414.65	03:00	
04:00	43803.36	04:00	35577.26	04:00	40017.78	04:00	38029.26	04:00	45502.8	04:00	45152.11	04:00	
05.00	42010	05.00	20200.02	05.00	40037.30	05.00	20022.05	05.00	45103.03	05.00	44074.07	05.00	

4. Not witnessed.



## 14.6 Documentation

- a) Ensure that a system measurement manual exists for the metering station and that it contents are representative and correct.
- b) Ensure that logbooks, electronic or handwritten, exist for the metering system and confirm that totaliser stop and start figures are entered into logbooks when a stream is taken on or offline, together with the date and time and reason for the stream change.
- c) Check that all major events are logged, including details of any equipment replaced and the reason for their replacement. Issues that are likely to have caused measurement errors should be investigated.
- d) Ensure that suitable procedures exist for the operation of the metering system. Review the procedures to confirm that they contain sufficient detail and indicate the roles and responsibilities of everyone involved with the operation of the system.
- e) Review the approved maintenance/calibration procedures and confirm suitability.
- Review the maintenance/calibration records and confirm if the results are considered acceptable, with minimal failures to achieve the agreed tolerances.
- g) Review the documentation and procedures for capturing mis-measurements. Evaluate the cause of any mis-measurements since the previous audit. Check some examples to ensure correct and timely application in the accounts system.
- h) Review the approved uncertainty calculations and confirm suitability.
- i) Review the calibration test equipment certification and confirm the suitability of the equipment for this application. Ensure that the test equipment is dedicated to the calibration of metering equipment only.
- Review the documentation and procedures for obtaining dispensations.
   Ensure dispensations and appropriate action plans were requested where necessary. Evaluate the cause of any dispensations over the audit period.

Pass (✓)	Fail ( <mark>X</mark> )		Not Possible (NP)					Appli	Not cable	e (NA	.)
DOCUMENTATION	ITEM	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J
1	Pass/Fail	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	X	✓	NP	1	1	Х	Х	✓	Х
	Comment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

#### Comments:

1. Measurement manual supplied (Doc Number AOT-OP-HMS-OPS-MAN-0001 Rev C1 Nov '14).

2. No common equipment logbook for the analyser systems. (Comment)

3. See above comment 2. No issues that may have resulted in mismeasurment noted from the start of the logbooks.

4. Operational procedures not supplied during site visit.

5. Maintenance procedures part of above measurement manual – noted to be satisfactory.

6. No failures noted in maint history from January 2021.

Given level of redundancy with the primary elements, flow computers, and pressure/temperature transmitters, along with the calibration history – the frequency of calibrations could be relaxed. If calibration frequencies cannot be relaxed, then an additional experienced technician resource should be considered. 7. It was demonstrated that a mismeasurement process is in place; however, unlike the Leviathan reports, there is no procedural information on the front of the reports. 15 mismeasurements completed from May 2019 to July 2021. Most appear to be for USM flow when offline, and others for USM signal dropout. It was reported that the Gas Controllers adjust the figures as required; however implementation in accounts was not witnessed;. It is recommended that a section is added to show totals have been amended/implemented by the accounts/gas controllers to fully close out the mismeasurement process. (Comment) 8. The current energy uncertainty does not appear to be representative. (Risk Factor 3)



Equipment	Serial Number	Certificate Date	Certificate Number
Beamex MC6-Ex	701980	26/05/2021	2105332/1
Beamex EXT250	76710	06/06/2021	2105332/5
Beamex Probe	06458	06/06/2021	2105332/6
Beamex Bara	701980/2	06/06/2021	2105332/3
Module			

9. No issues noted. It was reported that there is a two yearly calibration interval. See supplied details in table below:

The EXT250 above is the external pressure module used for pressure transmitter calibrations. Serial numbers on the supplied April 2022 test sheets match the above table.

10. There does not appear to be a dispensation process in place. (Comment)



# 14.7 Metering Management

- a) Confirm that the metering system is being operated and maintained as indicated in the pertaining commercial agreements.
- b) Ensure that all personnel involved with the operation and maintenance of the metering and sampling systems display sufficient competency on the system.
- c) Ensure that sufficient spares are available and not subject to excessive expediting delays to minimise downtime and errors due to equipment failures.
- d) Ensure that any modifications, or changes, made to the system since the last audit have been recorded and that the relevant interested parties have been informed of the changes.

Pass (✔)	Fail (X)	N Possib	ot le (NP)	N Applica	ot ble (NA)
DOCUMENTATION	ITEM	А	A B		D
1	Pass/Fail	✓	✓	X	NA
T	Comment No.	1	2	3	4

### Comments:

1. Given the levels of redundancy and equipment performance demonstrated via observed and supplied data it would be likely the system was compliant.

2. No issues noted.

3. A lack of USM spares was reported at AOT. This may become more of an issue

as the 2006 meters are now "legacy" items. (Comment)

4. Not applicable – initial audit.